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Message from the Dean

Dear Members of the Law School Community,

As if anyone needed confirmation of the quality of the education that our students receive at the School of Law, the recent spate of judicial appointments of alumni should serve notice that UCLA Law indeed has come of age after a little more than a half-century in existence.

Among the more than 110 appointments to the Superior Court bench made by Gov. Gray Davis in 2001 and 2002, more than 10 percent were UCLA Law alumni (and include the first Vietnamese-American and Korean-American women appointed to the state bench). In addition, the governor elevated two other Bruins to seats on the state Court of Appeal and one was confirmed for the U.S. District Court.

This shouldn’t come as a surprise to anyone. The School of Law has a long history of successfully placing its graduates, a fact that reflects the school’s excellent national ranking. Our alumni, more than 12,000 of them, work in coveted positions in the greater Los Angeles area and around the world as attorneys in public and private practice, business executives, writers, journalists, professors and academic administrators. And among those numbers also are many, many judges.

As Los Angeles Superior Court Judge David Horowitz ’66 has noted: “It is no wonder that so many UCLA Law graduates have selected a life of public service and find themselves on the bench. From the first year of law school, students are engaged with great minds [and] caring faculty who deliver a consistent message that with this education comes a wonderful career and a responsibility to truly be stewards of justice.”

To read more about the Bruin judiciary, see our cover story, The Judges of UCLA Law.

Some of you may notice something missing from this Fall issue of UCLA Law Magazine: the annual Honor Roll of donors to the School of Law. We’ve decided to try something different this year and to send it to you as a separate, stand-alone publication. We hope that by offering the Honor Roll to you as a separate piece, more people ultimately may have a chance to see it and to recognize those alumni who have been so generous in their support of the School. Whether this might be a permanent change in our approach to presenting the Honor Roll has not been decided. That will, in part, depend on the feedback we receive from you, our readers.

Elsewhere in this issue, we feature the wealth of thought-provoking symposia and conferences the Law School hosted this past spring semester. These events attracted participants from around the country and, indeed, the world, who discussed vital legal topics as varied and informative as they were fascinating. Faculty and students, alumni practitioners and a wider community all engaged in the wide-ranging discussions.
It would be impossible in this space to detail all of these events, but some of the highlights illustrate the breadth of the subjects studied. The 26th annual UCLA Entertainment Law Symposium drew more than 400 participants in January to “Film, Television, and Music In the New Global Economy.” The Charles R. Williams gift to launch a Project on Sexual Orientation Law resulted in an inaugural Update on Sexual Orientation Law that will become an annual policy update. And Visiting Professor David Nimmer presented his scholarship on the copyright issues surrounding control of the Dead Sea Scrolls in his lecture, “Who Owns the Dead Sea Scrolls?”

In March, the UCLA Law Review celebrated its 50th anniversary by viewing critically the privatization and “third party” implementation of government functions at a symposium that is now endowed in perpetuity by Skadden, Arps, Slate, and Meagher & Flom LLP. Also in March, the inaugural symposium for the Evan Frankel Environmental Law and Policy Program, with the keynote address delivered by UCLA Professor of Physiology and Pulitzer Prize-winning author Jared Diamond, drew standing-room-only crowds and lively debate as it explored “Integrating Human Communities and Natural Environments.” Mark Rose, professor of English at UC Santa Barbara, delivered this year’s Melville B. Nimmer Lecture on the fascinating topic of “Copyright and Its Metaphors.”

In April the Williams Project sponsored a conference on Exclusion and Asylum. Finally, the 2002 Ann C. Rosenfield Symposium in April was dedicated to the life-long work in torts scholarship of our beloved colleague, Gary Schwartz, who died last summer, and was remembered fondly at a memorial service the preceding October with more than 300 family members, colleagues, students, and friends. A special issue of the UCLA Law Review containing these remarkable essays and many personal tributes to Professor Schwartz will be published at year’s end.

The volume and quality of these activities may have been particularly high last spring, affording students, faculty, alumni and esteemed colleagues from around the world an unusual array of special opportunities for active exploration of compelling issues of legal policy, theory and practice. But you should anticipate that for the UCLA School of Law this is to be the norm. Our School can certainly march towards its second half-century with a proud heritage and clear vision of the future. As we embark on a new year of learning and scholarship, I’d like to take a moment and thank you all for your support all year long. It is your generosity that makes these enriching activities possible, and your participation that makes us a community.

Jonathan D. Wallace
The JUDGES of UCLA Law
For such a young school — the youngest, in fact, among top-tier law schools in the United States — UCLA School of Law has established a long tradition of sending graduates to the judiciary.

That tradition continues to grow with the appointments by Gov. Gray Davis of twelve more UCLA Law alumni to Superior Courts throughout California in 2001 and 2002 — including the first Vietnamese-American and Korean-American women to serve on the California bench — and the elevation of two alumni to seats on the state Court of Appeal. In addition, another alumnus, Percy Anderson ’75, was nominated by President George W. Bush, and confirmed by the Senate, for appointment to the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California.

Says David Sklansky, Associate Dean and Professor of Law, of UCLA’s success in seeing its graduates into judicial appointments: “It is a reflection of the high caliber of the students we attract, and of the quality of education we provide them. It is a strong indication that we are doing things right.”

With the new appointments, there are some 150 Bruins on the Superior Court bench throughout California, mostly in Los Angeles County, and about fifteen sitting on state appellate courts (including Associate Justice Janice R. Brown ’77 of the California State Supreme Court). There are some twenty UCLA alumni in the federal judiciary in California.

All those Bruins sitting on benches throughout California is not only a positive reflection on the School of Law; they provide a tremendous resource for students and faculty at the school. “These are people to whom both professors and students can turn for insights into how the legal process actually operates,” Sklansky says. And the fact that UCLA alumni are prevalent throughout the judiciary “lets the legal community and the public at large know about the terrific quality of our graduates. It provides yet another indication of the significance that is placed on a UCLA Law degree and is an enhancement to the prestige that has come be associated with UCLA School of Law.”

Those Bruins elevated to the California Court of Appeal were Rich Fybel ’71 and his classmate Laurence Rubin ’71. The thirteen UCLA alumni appointed to the Superior Court in seven counties — more than 10 percent of the total number of Superior Court appointments that Gov. Davis has made in the past eighteen months — included: Jacqueline H. Nguyen ’91, the first Vietnamese-American woman to hold a seat on the California bench, Tammy Chung Ryu ’87, the first Korean-American woman, Joe W. Hilberman ’73, H. Chester Horn Jr. ’72, Richard H. Kirschner ’68, Dorothy L. Shubin ’84, and Alumni Board Member Marjorie S. Steinberg ’75 in Los Angeles County; David E. De Alba ’79 in Sacramento County; Katrina West ’90 in San Bernardino County; Laura Whitcomb Halgren ’84 in San Diego County; Susan M. Breall ’82 in San Francisco County; Frederick P. Aguirre ’71 in Orange County; and Elisabeth Sichel ’79 in Riverside County.

They join an illustrious group of alumni who have earlier taken their places on the bench.
Alex Kozinski ’75, a mentor to many UCLA Law students who regularly chooses UCLA Law clerks, was elevated from Chief Judge of the U.S. Claims Court in Washington D.C. to the U.S. 9th Circuit Court of Appeals in 1985 at the age of thirty-five, making him the youngest federal appeals court judge in this century. Judge Kozinski is a regular participant in School of Law events and delivered the commencement address to the 45th graduating class in 1996. He was named Alumnus of the Year in 1998. A man of many interests, his talents extend well beyond the courtroom: he is a member of the Academy of Magical Arts & Sciences.

Dorothy W. Nelson ’53 was appointed in 1979 shortly after completing her decade-long tenure as the Dean of the USC Law Center. She was the first woman member of the USC Law faculty and the first woman dean of a major law school. Judge Nelson is a national authority on legal education and judicial administration and since 1985 has chaired the Board of Directors of the Western Justice Center. The Los Angeles Times named her the 1968 “Woman of the Year,” and in 1969 she received the UCLA Award for Professional Achievement. In 1993, Chancellor Charles Young awarded her the UCLA Medal; she was the first Law graduate to be so honored. Two members of the UCLA Law faculty have clerked for Judge Nelson: Laura Gomez, professor of law and sociology, and Sue Gillig, assistant dean of the clinical law program.

Kim McLane Wardlaw ’79 to the federal bench in 1995, and he elevated her to the U.S. Court of Appeals three years later. Judge Wardlaw contributes significantly to the School by mentoring law students, young lawyers and especially women lawyers. The National Organization of Women awarded her the Buddy in recognition of this dedication. Judge Wardlaw’s appointment to the bench followed a successful litigation career at O’Melveny & Myers LLP representing some of the largest corporations in America. Her contributions to the School of Law include donations to the Hugh and Hazel Darling Law Library fund, participation in the Bar Swearing-In Ceremony, and meeting with students and new lawyers over informal dinners.
U.S. District Court Judge Lourdes Gillespie Baird ’76 was born in Quito, Ecuador, the youngest of seven children. She was appointed to the East Los Angeles Municipal Court in 1986, and to the U.S. District Court in 1992. This 1990 Alumna of the Year is a welcome face at the School of Law, where she has participated in the Bar Swearing-in Ceremony and led the Alumni Association. Other UCLA Law members of the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California include David O. Carter ’72, Audrey B. Collins ’77, who received the UCLA Law Professional Achievement Award in 1997 and remains active volunteering for the School, Gary A. Feess ’74, William D. Keller ’60, Mariana R. Pfaelzer ’57 who, appointed in 1978, is the first UCLA Law graduate to hold a federal judgeship, and who won the 1976 Professional Achievement Award and was named Alumna of the Year in 1979, and Gary L. Taylor ’63, a prolific writer and speaker who was named Alumnus of the Year three years ago and recently participated as a judge for the School of Law’s Roscoe Pound Moot Court Tournament. The Honorable John G. Davies ’59 has retired, and the Honorable Percy Anderson ’75 just joined that court.

Robert N. Block ’78 is Chief Magistrate Judge for the U.S. District Court, Central District. James Larson ’68 is a U.S. Magistrate Judge in U.S. District Court, Northern District. In the Southern District, Ruben Brooks ’71, and Jeffrey T. Miller ’67, are judges, and James F. Stiven ’69 is a U.S. Magistrate Judge. The United States Bankruptcy Court is home to four alumni: Meredith Jury ’76, Kathleen Lax ’80, and Barry Russell ’66, serve in the Central District of California, Judge Russell on the Appellate Panel; Michael S. McManus ’78, is Chief Judge of the Eastern District.
After seventeen years as a Ventura County Superior Court judge, Steven Perren ’67 was elevated in 1999 to the Court of Appeal. His support of future lawyers is rivaled only by his commitment to helping troubled youth; the Steven Z. Perren Juvenile Justice Center in Ventura County, which will integrate services to house, rehabilitate, educate, and provide job training for young offenders, was named in his honor. At the School of Law, Judge Perren volunteers his time to judge moot court competitions, swear in newly minted lawyers to the bar, and mentor students. He is the School of Law’s alumni representative to other professional schools and programs at UCLA and is an executive committee member of the Alumni Board.

Presiding Judge Joan Dempsey Klein ’55 is the first UCLA Law graduate to hold a California judgeship, having been appointed in 1963 to the Municipal Court. She was also the first UCLA Law Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, and the first graduate to preside over it. The 1963 UCLA Law Alumna of the Year, and the 1975 recipient of the Professional Achievement Award, she is a leader in professional women’s organizations and very supportive of her alma mater, presiding at the annual Bar Swearing-In ceremony and participating in other School of Law activities. Other alumni now serving or having served on the state Court of Appeal include Roger W. Boren ’73, Elwood Lui ’69 [ret], Alumnus of the Year for 2000 and president of the Alumni Association in 1998, Richard D. Aldrich ’63, Norman L. Epstein ’58, president of the Alumni Association in 1976, a current member of the Alumni Association, and the 2001 recipient of the State Bar of California’s highest award, the Witkin Medal, Charles S. Vogel ’59, who was Alumnus of the Year in 1986, Paul A. Turner ’72, and the late Howard M. Dabney ’65.

Richard D. Aldrich ’63
Norman L. Epstein ’58
Charles S. Vogel ’59
Paul A. Turner ’72
Howard M. Dabney ’65
The child of a noncommissioned Air Force officer, California Supreme Court Associate Justice Janice Brown ’77 was born in Alabama and lived on and around military bases in the western and southwestern areas of the country, often in racially segregated housing. She attended segregated schools and experienced a childhood of indignities and fears. “Having trials in life makes you strong,” she said. “It gives you a sense of mission.” Settling in California, she attended Cal State University Sacramento before entering UCLA School of Law and dedicating her professional life to public service, government and political law. In 1991, she was tapped by Gov. Pete Wilson to become his counsel as Legal Affairs Secretary for the Office of the Governor. Wilson appointed her Associate Justice of the California Court of Appeal in 1994, and less than two years later she was elevated to the California Supreme Court.

“...I had the opportunity to go watch my husband argue before the Court of Appeal this morning, and the presiding justice turned out to be Norman Epstein... He is a jurist of heroic proportions... I watched the other two cases that were heard before Sam’s, and I was awestruck by Justice Epstein’s kindness, thoughtfulness, intelligence and decisiveness. He made me proud to be a lawyer.”

— Donna Cox Wells ’92

Justice Brown is not the first UCLA Law graduate to sit on the Supreme Court; she was preceded by John A. Arguelles ’54, who was elevated to the court by Gov. George Deukmejian in 1987. He retired from the court in 1989. He received the UCLA Professional Achievement Award in 1998.
### Judges of UCLA Law

**SUPERIOR COURT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.jpg" alt="David A. Horowitz '66" /></td>
<td>David A. Horowitz '66</td>
<td>Los Angeles Superior Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.jpg" alt="Billy G. Mills '54 (Ret.)" /></td>
<td>Billy G. Mills '54 (Ret.)</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Superior Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.jpg" alt="George Schiavelli '74 (Ret.)" /></td>
<td>George Schiavelli '74 (Ret.)</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Superior Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.jpg" alt="Bob S. Bowers '72" /></td>
<td>Bob S. Bowers '72</td>
<td>Los Angeles Superior Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5.jpg" alt="Veronica Simmons McBeth '75" /></td>
<td>Veronica Simmons McBeth '75</td>
<td>Los Angeles Superior Court</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Judge Richard Schauer ’55**, who received the 1981 UCLA Professional Achievement Award, is the first UCLA Law alumnus to have been appointed to the Superior Court bench, beginning a proud tradition. Some of the most active alumni, including several who have served as president of the Alumni Association, are or have been Superior Court judges. Among them have been **David A. Horowitz ’66**, **Billy G. Mills ’54 [Ret.]**, the 1984 Alumnus of the Year, **George Schiavelli ’74 [Ret.]**, the Alumni Association’s immediate past president, **Bob Bowers ’72** and **Kenneth Black ’74**, both currently serving on the Alumni Board bench, and **Veronica Simmons McBeth ’75**, a member of the Dean’s UCLA School of Law Board of Advisors and the 1998 recipient of the William H. Rehnquist Award for Judicial Excellence, which was given in 2002 to Ronald M. George, Chief Justice of the State of California.

“I felt lucky [to have studied with Roscoe Pound] at UCLA. His speech in 1906 on the causes of popular dissatisfaction with the administration of justice is as relevant today as in 1906...I like to think in terms of ideas that would affect hundreds of thousands of people. In practice, you only affect one person at a time.”

— Senior Circuit Judge Dorothy Wright Nelson ’53, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals

---

*UCLA LAW FALL 2002*
Of nearly 200 current or recently retired “UCLA Honorable Alumni,” only a handful work outside of California. They include Peggy Jean Nelson ’73 of the District Court of New Mexico; Scott Jordan ’75 from the District Court of Nevada; R.B. Merriweather ’71 from the Philadelphia Municipal Court of Pennsylvania; Edward C. Clifton ’75 of the Superior Court in the State of Rhode Island; Joseph B. Brown Jr. ’73, from the Criminal Court of the State of Tennessee; and Kathryn J. Nelson ’79, a Superior Court judge in Pierce County, Washington.

Ironically, the first UCLA Law judge served not in California, but in Arizona. The late Chief Judge of the Arizona Court of Appeals, Laurence T. Wren ’55, was first appointed to the Municipal Court bench of Flagstaff, Arizona in 1961. Less than a decade later, as a Superior Court judge of Maricopa County, he presided over his most famous case, involving Ernesto Arturo Miranda. Although the U.S. Supreme Court, with Chief Justice Earl Warren writing for the majority, overturned Miranda’s rape and kidnapping conviction in June 1966, he was not set free. Miranda v. Arizona did not affect Miranda’s robbery conviction, for which he still had to serve time. Furthermore, Maricopa County prosecutors felt that even without his confession, which the Supreme Court’s ruling made inadmissible, there was still sufficient evidence to retry him for the 1963 rape and kidnapping that launched the famous case.

The Maricopa prosecution did retry the case and prevailed. One year to the day after arguments in Miranda v. Arizona were heard in Washington, D.C., Miranda was re-sentenced to twenty to thirty years in prison for the crime that launched the opinion that has affected police interrogation procedures for nearly forty years.

Ed note:
Thanks to Professors Ken Karst and David Sklansky and the research assistance of Cynthia Lewis, Kevin Gerson, Jennifer Lentz and Linda Maisner of the Hugh and Hazel Darling Law Library. Thanks also to Louise Lillard ’85 and Karen Stigler ’02 for review.
In the broader realm of the social sciences, altruism is both common and predictable. People regularly keep promises, leave tips, and decline to take advantage of other people’s mistakes, even in commercial transactions. Most people do not mug other people; not solely out of fear of punishment but also out of a desire not to hurt or frighten others.

The Supreme Court decision that determined the outcome of the 2000 presidential election (Bush v. Gore, 121 S.Ct.525 (2000)) illustrated, among other things, that judges are truly hierarchs, that they possess remarkable powers over the fate of others, and that often they have been selected by the very people whose fortunes they decide. Such hierarchs are expected to avoid abuse of this great power by fairly and impartially applying the law independent of any personal considerations or convictions. This case reminded many that this concept, this ideal, remains just that: an ideal, which may or may not be realized in judicial decisions.

Judges have no external incentive to perform ideally or even to do a good job. They are not punished for bad decisions nor rewarded for good ones. Judges may base their decisions on a neutral application of the law, but they may also use their power to impose their personal preferences on the broader society. Yet most judges perceive an obligation or responsibility to do a good job. Judges often feel they ought to “do the right thing” by deciding cases fairly, impartially, and correctly.

To understand fully the modern judicial motivation and behavior, we must believe that judges do not always act completely out of self-interest. Rational choice usually assumes that people will act to improve their own interests. But this rational choice analysis when applied to judges omits the important element of altruism. We expect judges to do the right thing, to behave in an altruistic manner even though they may feel otherwise. We expect them to act as though they care less about their own personal preferences and more about what could be described in various ways: moral principles, commitment to public service, legal craftsmanship, or even noblesse oblige.

Social scientists who study human behavior through “social dilemma” experiments, have found that most people (not always, but frequently) cooperate and demonstrate concern for the welfare of others, even to their own detriment. However, such altruistic behavior varies according to the social context. How people behave is affected by such factors as how the experimenter asks them to behave, how they expect other members of the group to behave, and how much they identify with other members of the group. If others in our group are behaving nicely we are much more likely to behave nicely ourselves. We do not behave the same at a wedding reception as we do when we are negotiating to buy a car.

Economic factors are also significant. People behave altruistically and will be honest and fair and generous only if it doesn’t cost them too much. They are also more inclined to be cooperative if their acts result in great benefit to others. Cooperation in social dilemmas appears to be negatively correlated with the cost of cooperation to the cooperating players and positively correlated with the benefits of such cooperation to others. Altruism is most likely to be observed when the cost of cooperation to oneself is relatively small and the benefit to others is relatively large. Nevertheless, even small acts of altruism can, in the aggregate, produce considerable social gains.

We need to incorporate the phenomenon of socially contingent altruism into our analysis.
of judicial behavior. Understanding the determinants of altruistic behavior may be the key to how we can motivate judges and encourage good judging. Judges are forbidden to accept bribes or from deciding cases in which they have a direct interest. They are discouraged from moonlighting so that they can direct their entire attention to judging rather than to some more lucrative enterprise. Judges are usually seasoned practitioners or legal scholars rather than laymen who might need to expend a great deal of effort to do a correspondingly good job of deciding the law. These obvious precautions reduce the likelihood that judges will decide cases badly (erroneously, carelessly), but we need to go beyond that to create incentives for judges to decide cases well.

Social dilemma experiments suggest that altruism can provide such an incentive. But this will occur only when social and economic circumstances favor altruism. This means that if we want judges to do a good job we must encourage them to believe that their decisions are important to others. One gauge of this importance is the salary they are paid. In contemporary American culture salary is often viewed as evidence of merit and value. Thus it is a matter of public concern when federal judges receive lower salaries than their law clerks will earn when they begin work as associates in law firms. (Contrary to this reasoning, one could argue that low judicial salaries encourage altruism because they discourage those who are selfish or greedy from ever seeking judicial appointments). Social dilemma evidence further suggests that judges will do a better job if they share social identity with the litigants who appear in front of them. Perhaps the black robes and the imposing raised bench widen the distance between judges and the general citizenry. (On the other hand, such customs serve the valuable function of reminding the judge of the gravity and importance of her role.)

Another essential guideline resulting from the social dilemma experiments is that judges must believe that other judges in other courts are deciding cases carefully and impartially. Thus tremendous damage can be done by highly publicized opinions such as Bush v. Gore, where the justices of the Supreme Court were widely perceived to have behaved in a biased, partisan fashion. Such decisions undermine not only the public faith in the Supreme Court but they also damage the functioning of the judiciary as a whole. Other judges may infer that if the Supreme Court does not behave impartially it is not important for them to do so.

Finally, the legal academy, that is, law professors who express opinions about the quality of judging in scholarly works and in comments to the media may be the authority whose opinion is respected enough to encourage judges to do their best. Therefore when scholars suggest that judges act in self-interest, this sends a message as to what is expected and commonplace behavior on the bench and raises the possibility that this may become a self-fulfilling prophecy. Legal scholars may therefore change judicial behavior by the observations they make about this behavior. I admit I do not lose much sleep over this possibility. My own suspicion is that academic theorizing has remarkably little effect on what non-academics, including judges, do. Yet there is a second risk scholars run if they insist on always analyzing judicial behavior through the lens of rational choice. If scholars insist on analyzing legal behavior solely through the lens of rational choice without adding the important ingredient of altruism, they risk failure in understanding the nature of judicial behavior and the judicial role. If we persist in treating judges and other hierarchs as if they are purely selfish beings we are neglecting the other more important side of the story, the altruistic side.

Lynn Stout joined the UCLA Law faculty in 2001. Special thanks to Louise Lillard ’85 who provided this abridged feature of Professor Stout’s essay, Judges as Altruistic Hierarchs.

"An important corollary is that the smaller the role altruism seems to play in determining judicial behavior, the less acceptance and social legitimacy the judiciary is likely to enjoy."
Every semester, the School of Law sends a handful of students to extern in judges’ chambers around Southern California. The extern acts as a mini-clerk — that is, as a legal assistant to the judge for whom he or she works. Because the relationship between judge and clerk or extern is individual, the nature of the work varies widely from judge to judge; indeed, the same judge may have different relationships with successive students, depending on the abilities of judge and student to work together. In general, however, externs assist judges and their clerks by doing legal research and drafting memoranda and opinions. In the course of a single day, the student may find him or herself culling salient facts from a massive record, writing a memorandum to the court on the legal issues in a case, and serving as a sounding board for thoughts on a proposed decision. In short, judicial externs are treated and given responsibilities much the same as law clerks.

At its best, the externship offers a number of special opportunities: to see how judicial decisions are made and to understand the pressures and considerations that influence those decisions; to participate in the shaping of those decisions through both legal analysis and individual viewpoint; and to get to know someone in a critical position of social power.

Judicial externs rave about their semester in chambers. Almost to a person, they say it was their favorite semester of law school. While cynics might assume that the students were simply thrilled to have avoided the Socratic method and exams for a term, former externs would gladly disabuse those cynics of that belief. For most of them, two aspects of the semester especially stand out: the opportunity to learn in a “real world” setting; and the heady experience of getting to know and help a judge do the courts’ work.

While in school students learn four or five subjects in depth per semester. Externs may face as many issues daily. Only while in chambers are our students faced with the minutiae of antitrust, contract law, mandatory minimum sentences, water rights, bankruptcy, torts, and more, all during the course of a week. And while they are learning the substantive law in these areas, they are writing, writing, writing. With feedback from the judges and clerks for whom they work, judicial externs improve their legal research and writing skills immeasurably over the course of the semester.

A number of the Law School’s judicial externs have maintained rewarding professional relationships with the judges for whom they worked. Some have gone on to clerk for the same judge post graduation. Many say that the judge proved an invaluable teacher during their semester working together. This mentor relationship can, of course, be one of the most important of a young lawyer’s career. In the end, the externship semester reminds students that learning about the law does not end when they walk outside the law school’s doors.
**Faculty who have clerked**

- **Khaled Abou El Fadl**  
  Justice James Moeller  
  Arizona Supreme Court

- **Iman Anabtawi**  
  Judge Laurence H. Silberman  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit

- **Alison Anderson**  
  Judge Simon Sobeloff  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 4th Circuit

- **Peter Arenella**  
  Chief Justice Tauro  
  Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court

- **Stephen Bainbridge**  
  Chief Judge Frank A. Kaufman  
  U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland

- **Paul Bergman**  
  Judge O.D. Hamlin  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Grace Blumberg**  
  Appellate Division, Superior Court of New York, 4th Department

- **Dan Bussel**  
  Judge Stephen G. Breyer  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 1st Circuit

- **Kimberlé Crenshaw**  
  Justice Shirley Abrahamson  
  Wisconsin Supreme Court

- **David Dolinko**  
  Judge Harry Pregerson  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Sharon Dolovich**  
  Judge Rosemary Barkett  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 11th Circuit

- **Jody Freeman**  
  Associate Chief Justice Morden  
  Justices Tarnopolsky, Arbour & Blair  
  Ontario Court of Appeal, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

- **Sue Gillig**  
  Judge Dorothy W. Nelson  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Carole Goldberg**  
  Judge Robert Peckham  
  U.S. District Court, Northern District of California

- **Robert Goldstein**  
  Chief Judge Raymond Pettine  
  U.S. District Court, District of Rhode Island

- **Laura Gómez**  
  Judge Dorothy Nelson  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Joel Handler**  
  Justice Nathan Jacobs  
  New Jersey Supreme Court

- **Tom Holm**  
  Judge Arthur L. Alarcón  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Kristen Holmquist**  
  Judge Robert Boochever  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Lily Hsu**  
  Judge Warren J. Ferguson  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **William Klein**  
  Judge David L. Bazelon  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit

- **Russell Korobkin**  
  Judge James L. Buckley  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit

- **Gillian Lester**  
  Supreme Court of Ontario, Canada  
  Court of Appeals

- **Christine Littleton**  
  Judge Warren Ferguson  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Timothy Malloy**  
  Judge Donald W. VanArtsdalen  
  U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania

- **Frances Olsen**  
  Chief Judge Alfred A. Arraj  
  U.S. District Court, District of Colorado

- **Arthur Rosett**  
  Justice Harold H. Burton  
  Justice Stanley Reed  
  Chief Justice Earl Warren  
  U.S. Supreme Court

- **Sandy Roth**  
  Judge Procter Hug, Jr.  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **Gary Rowe**  
  Judge William Norris  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **William Rubenstein**  
  Judge Stanley Sporkin  
  U.S. District Court, District of Columbia

- **Richard Sander**  
  Judge John Grady  
  U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois

- **Brad Sears**  
  Judge J. Spencer Letts  
  U.S. District Court, Central District of California

- **David Sklansky**  
  Judge Abner Mikva  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit

- **Justice Harry A. Blackmun**  
  U.S. Supreme Court

- **Lynn Stout**  
  Judge Gerhard A. Gesell  
  U.S. District Court, District of Columbia

- **Jonathan Varat**  
  Judge Walter Mansfield  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 2nd Circuit

- **Justice Byron White**  
  U.S. Supreme Court

- **Eugene Volokh**  
  Judge Alex Kozinski  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

- **John Wiley**  
  Judge Frank M. Coffin  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 1st Circuit

- **Justice Lewis F. Powell, Jr.**  
  U.S. Supreme Court

- **Stephen Yeazell**  
  Justice Mathew Tobriner  
  California Supreme Court

- **Jonathan Zasloff**  
  Judge Michael Boudin  
  U.S. Court of Appeals, 1st Circuit
**Khaled Abou El Fadl**

*Rebellion and Violence in Islamic Law.*

The first thorough analysis of the rich, sophisticated and nuanced legal discourses on the issue of rebellion and violence across the multitude of Islamic legal schools of thought, from the beginning of the Islamic tradition to the present.


**Stephen Bainbridge**

*Corporation Law and Economics.*


A review of Selznick’s work on employee participation in corporate governance and decision-making.


An intellectual arbitrage of the literature on group decision-making and corporate law, focusing on how otherwise inexplicable corporate law rules make sense when considered in light of the literature on how groups make decisions.


A critique of the corporate doctrine of “piercing the corporate veil,” in which Professor Bainbridge argues that the doctrine makes neither doctrinal nor economic sense.


An inquiry into the relationship between the foundational normative premises of economic analysis and Christian values.


Co-written with a leading Slovene scholar, this article explores a variety of corporate law and governance issues that have arisen in the aftermath of Slovenia’s transition to a market economy. The authors review how Slovenia privatized its formerly state-owned enterprises and the deficiencies of the new Slovene corporate law.
Gary Blasi
Explores the implications for lawyers and other advocates of recent advances in cognitive social psychology and social cognitive neuroscience in understanding how racial and other stereotypes operate in the human mind.

Grace Blumberg
Professor Blumberg, one of three reporters for the project, authored the chapter on child support and co-authored the chapters on non-marital cohabitation and agreements. For their distinguished work on the project, the Institute honored the three reporters as R. Ammi Cutter Reporters.

Devon Carbado
Black Like Us; A Century of Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual African American Fiction (Devon W. Carbado et al. eds), Cleis Press, (2002).
Situates the production of Black gay and lesbian literature in the context of a discussion about law, politics, and civil rights.

Interactions at Work, Remembering David Charny (with Mitu Gulati), 17 Harvard Blackletter L.J. 13 - 22 (2001). Discusses the importance of David Charny’s work to discrimination theory. The authors focus on his work on discrimination because this area of his scholarship has had the greatest impact on their own work.

Ann Carlson
Concludes that recent scholarly enthusiasm for using the management of social norms as a regulatory tool may be misplaced, at least with respect to what she calls “large number, small payoff” social problems.

These problems, which Professor Carlson illustrates with extensive empirical evidence about recycling, require large numbers of people to alter their behavior with little economic incentive to do so. Efforts to strengthen social norms in favor of behavioral change for large number, small payoff social problems may be only minimally effective while efforts to reduce the effort necessary to make the behavioral change may work far more effectively.

Presents empirical evidence about the ways in which California local governments have responded to a flurry of U.S. Supreme Court decisions involving land use decisions and the takings clause. Professor Carlson and her co-author find that at least some communities appear to have responded to the decisions by demanding exactions for land in exchange for development approval less frequently but by using impact fees in lieu of land exactions more frequently and at a higher rate. They also discuss the implications of their findings for various theories about the appropriate reach of the takings clause.
Carole Goldberg
Explains that although preferences and benefits for American Indians predate the American policy of affirmative action and flow from different rationales, Indian preferences are the latest targets in the battle against affirmative action. The tribes’ most effective defense has been to emphasize the grounding of these special rights in their governmental status rather than in race or ethnicity. However, the changing nature of federal benefits, along with the changing demographics and politics of Indian country, has made this strategy more difficult for tribes to sustain. Professor Goldberg offers and assesses three alternative legal theories for tribal responses to the attack on special federal legislation benefiting Indians. Political philosophy and theory assist in demonstrating the strengths and weaknesses of each response.

Unlike Indian nations themselves, some federal and state courts are conceptualizing Indian identity as a racial identity. Courts, in turn, are using this racialized understanding of Indian identity as the basis for invalidating federal laws that Congress passed to address tribal needs. Some legal scholars similarly criticize as race-based tribal membership criteria focusing on descent or family relationships. Professor Goldberg argues that these decisions and academic writings are incorrect in suggesting that the Constitution, as well as the history of the tribes themselves, compels rejection of classifications resting on descent, and that these legal pronouncements undermine the Indian identities of individuals who cannot satisfy outsiders’ notions of cultural participation. Professor Goldberg concludes that courts should frankly acknowledge and affirm the kinship- and descent-based nature of tribal communities, recognizing that the Constitution allows Congress to legislate for the benefit of communities defined on that basis.

Ramona redeemed? The Rise of Tribal Political Power in California (with Duane Champagne), 17 Wicazo Sa Review 43-63 (2002).

Gillian Lester
Critiques proposals to expand unemployment insurance as a means to redistribute wealth to poor workers and workers with family obligations. While Professor Lester supports measures to redistribute wealth to these groups of workers, she believes that direct tax and transfer programs and comprehensive family support programs (combining work accom-

Lynn LoPucki
Charges that the federal courts are restricting access to federal court data in ways that inhibit research that seeks to evaluate court performance.

Trademark owners often allow others — such as franchisees, subsidiaries, or business “partners” — to do business under their trademarks. This article argues that trademark owners should be liable for trademark users’ wrongdoing.

Argues that the current approach to identity theft — trying the keep identities secret — is fatally flawed. Professor LoPucki proposes instead a system that would make it possible for those who fear identity theft to publicly claim and control their own identities.
Timothy Malloy
Regulating by Incentives: Myths, Models and Micromarkets, 80 Texas L. Rev. 531-605 (2002).
Challenges the “black box” view of the business firm as a monolithic, rational actor. Professor Malloy uses the example of environmental regulation to show differences within the firm can cause it to act “irrationally.”

Grant Nelson


Randy Peerenboom
China has enjoyed considerable economic growth recently, in spite of a problematic legal system. Professor Peerenboom asserts that China is in transition from rule by law to a version of rule of law, although not a “liberal democratic” version.


William Rubenstein
Analyzes the extent to which state laws prohibiting discrimination against gay workers have been utilized by such workers. The raw numbers of filed claims appear low. However, by placing these numbers in the context of the small population of gay workers, Professor Rubenstein finds that in 8 of 10 states, gay workers file discrimination claims with greater frequency than women file gender discrimination claims, while in half the states, gay workers file bias claims at about the same rates that people of color file race discrimination claims. This data is important not only because it is the first empirical assessment of the use of gay rights laws but also because it will have a critical impact on the on-going Congressional debate.

Richard Steinberg
A systematic comparison of how each of the world’s major international trade organizations has been addressing environmental issues. It provides background, up-to-date information, and analysis on the development of trade-environment rules in the World Trade Organization, the European Union, the North American Free Trade Agreement, the Free Trade Area of the Americas, the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation forum, the International Organization for Standardization, and other key organizations. The book concludes by suggesting that the treatment of environmental issues in international trade organizations is
best explained by understanding the trade and environment interests of the world’s most powerful countries.

In the Shadow of Law or Power? Consensus-Based Bargaining and Outcomes in the GATT/WTO, 56 International Organization 339-374 (2002). Explains how consensus decision making has operated in practice in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade/World Trade Organization (GATT/WTO). Empirical analysis shows that although trade rounds are launched through law-based bargaining, hard law is generated when a round is closed, and rounds have been closed through power-based bargaining. Agenda setting has taken place in the shadow of that power. Professor Steinberg argues that consensus decision making at the GATT/WTO is organized hypocrisy, allowing adherence to the instrumental reality of asymmetrical power and the sovereign equality principle upon which consensus decision making is purportedly based.

Lynn Stout


Director Accountability and the Mediating Role of the Corporate Board (with Margaret Blair) (Symposium on Corporate Accountability), 79 Wash. U. L. Q. 403-447 (2001).

Argues that corporate directors ought to be viewed not as “agents” who serve only the shareholders, but as “mediating hierarchs” who enjoy ultimate control over the firm’s assets and outputs and who are charged with the task of balancing the sometimes-conflicting claims and interests of the many different groups that bear residual risk and have residual claims on the firm. This mediating model of the board’s role offers to explain a variety of important doctrines in U.S. law that preserve director autonomy and insulate the board from the command and control of the shareholders or indeed any other group, but raises the question of why directors who are largely insulated from outside pressures should be expected to do a good job of running the firm. Professor Stout and her co-author explore how social science research both supports the claim that directors may behave trustworthily even when they do not have explicit incentives to do so, and suggests some of the circumstances that are likely to promote accountability in director behavior.

Kenneth Karst Gives Keynote Address at the Jefferson Memorial Lecture

By Alex Hunt

The Jefferson Memorial Lecture, held annually at UC Berkeley, is one of the most prestigious and important forums for issues of American politics in the country. This year UCLA professor emeritus and constitutional scholar Kenneth L. Karst delivered the keynote address. The annual lecture, sponsored by the Jefferson Memorial Fund, is designed to promote adherence by young people to the democratic institutions of America.

Professor Karst delivered a speech entitled, “Law, Cultural Conflict, and The Socialization of Children.” The speech addressed the challenges a pluralistic society faces in the acculturation of its children and the political struggles that ensue as interest groups seek power to determine the parameters of acculturation. Kenneth Karst, the Dallas G. Price and Dallas P. Price Professor of Law Emeritus, has been a member of the UCLA Law faculty since 1965. An accomplished Constitutional Law scholar, he has been recognized many times for outstanding teaching and scholarship. He was the recipient of the University’s Distinguished Teaching Award in 1980, the School of Law’s Rutter Award for excellence in teaching in 1994, and twice has been named Professor of the Year by graduating classes.
William Rubenstein Wins the Rutter Award

Thirteen years after he won a landmark decision in which a state’s Supreme Court recognized a gay couple as the legal equivalent of a family, and after teaching and lecturing at over 100 institutions worldwide, Professor William (Bill) Rubenstein continues his path breaking work on gay law through UCLA’s Charles R. Williams Project on Sexual Orientation Law, which he helped start this past year. A favorite professor at the School of Law, he was named in 2000 one of the “Top 20 Lawyers Under 40” by California Law Business. This spring he celebrated with his parents, Farrell and Nancy Rubenstein, when he received the law school’s Rutter Award for Teaching Excellence.

Past Recipients of the Rutter Award

1979  Steven Yeazell
1980  David Binder
1981  Gerald López
1982  Jesse Dukeminier
1983  Leon Letwin
1984  William Warren
1985  Michael Asimow
1986  Murray Schwartz
1987  Gary Schwartz
1988  Julian Eule
1989  Grace Blumberg
1990  Jonathan Varat
1991  Kris Knaplund
1992  Carrie Menkel-Meadow
1993  John Bauman
1994  Kenneth Karst
1995  Steven Derian
1996  Alison Anderson
1997  Eric Zolt
1998  David Dolinko
1999  Peter Arenella
2000  Grant Nelson
2001  Al Moore
If you ask Professor Grant Nelson his goal in life, he’ll tell you: teaching. As far back as high school, he wanted to be a teacher. Years later when he graduated from law school he still wanted to teach. Even during the two years he served in Vietnam and through his successful legal career, Professor Nelson knew he would one day work in the classroom, not a courtroom.

His students agree that Professor Nelson has met his calling. He teaches Real Estate Finance, Advanced Real Estate Transactions, Property, Land Use Regulation, and Remedies. Reports one student, “His passion for the subject is the spark that gave his clarity impact. He teaches as if it is his mission in life to make sure every student learns as much as is humanly possible about Real Property and Real Estate Finance.”

That spark isn’t confined to the classroom, either. Professor Nelson holds sessions for first year students for the normal test review, yes. He also counsels on test taking skills and gives advice for success in law school and beyond. When it comes time to take the exam, he gives all his students his home phone number and a detailed schedule so they can reach him at any time with questions.

Professor Nelson even helps students physically absent from UCLA — he supervises the externs sent to Washington each semester. He oversees many students’ submissions to the UCLA Law Review and reviews thesis projects for UCLA’s LLM program. It is this availability and round-the-clock commitment to teaching that endears him to students and earns the respect of colleagues.

Graduating classes have routinely shown their appreciation for Professor Nelson, electing him to hood them for more than half of the commencements for which he’s been a professor at UCLA. This year, the University is honoring Professor Nelson with the highly competitive and prestigious UCLA Distinguished Teaching Award in recognition of his sustained excellence in teaching.

Professor Nelson has previously received the Distinguished Faculty and the Distinguished Non-Alumnus Awards from the University of Missouri-Columbia; he has been voted Professor of the Year at the University of Minnesota once; at Brigham Young University once; and last year he won the Rutter Award for excellence in teaching from the UCLA School of Law.

Despite these numerous honors, there was at least one student who offered an alternative to Professor Nelson’s chosen calling. So impressed was she with Professor Nelson’s abilities and persona that she concluded his sparkling evaluation with the capitalized proclamation “NELSON FOR PRESIDENT.”

Professor Grant Nelson
HONORED WITH THE
University Distinguished Teaching Award

By Cody Cass
FACULTY HONORS:  
DISTINGUISHED TEACHING AWARD  

By Cody Cass

The UCLA Distinguished Teaching Award is the most prestigious citation designating excellence in teaching on campus. The Academic Senate Committee on Teaching, which is comprised of nine senate faculty and one alumni representative, meets each year to select the Distinguished Teaching Award recipients. Members of the committee, along with one undergraduate and one graduate student, individually read all of the nominees’ files and evaluate each in all of the award categories. Some of the most important categories for the nominees are their positive impact on students; innovations in teaching; the size, number, and diversity of classes taught; involvement in the community outside the classroom; and the student evaluations. The consideration process takes four to six weeks for each of the three award categories, and final decisions are made in three separate meetings of the full committee.

Professor Nelson is the School of Law’s seventeenth Distinguished Teaching Award recipient since 1976. No other professional school has had that number of faculty honored over the same period of time.

Award Categories:
Distinguished Teaching Award  
(Academic Senate members only)

Distinguished Lecturer Award  
(Non-Academic Senate Faculty)

Distinguished Teaching Assistant Award

And the (Past) Law School Winners of the Distinguished Teaching Award Are…

1976 Jesse Dukeminier
1977 Richard Maxwell
1979 Stephen Yeazell
1980 Kenneth Karst
1981 Gerald Lopez
1984 Stanley Siegel
1985 William Warren
1987 Kenneth Graham, Jr.
1988 Alison Anderson
1989 Eric Zolt
1990 John Wiley
1991 Michael Asimow
1992 Paul Bergman
1994 David Binder
1999 Grace Blumberg
2000 David Sklansky

Gary Blasi Receives Two Awards

Professor Gary Blasi was honored June 25 with the ACLU Foundation of Southern California’s Distinguished Professor Award. Earlier this spring, on May 2, the Inner City Law Center gave him the Katharine Krause Award. Nancy Mintie ’79 of Uncommon Good calls Professor Gary Blasi a “National Treasure.”
Law Day Shines on Michael Asimow and Paul Bergman

The San Fernando Valley Legal Foundation, the charitable arm of the San Fernando Valley Bar Association, honored Professor Michael Asimow and Professor Paul Bergman at its annual Law Day celebration May 10. The award honors people from the community who have done something to further an understanding of the law. Our professors won the law in the media award for their book “Reel Justice,” for increasing the public’s respect and understanding of the legal profession as well as helping to raise the interest of young people in pursuing a career in law.

Professor Bergman also was featured in the June 10 “The Closer” column in California Law Business for his musings on “Blame It on the Movies,” how “overaggressive, dishonest cinelawyers reinforce the public’s negative image of attorneys.” Professor Bergman discusses several movie plots from “Class Action” to “Clueless” and “Lantana.”

On another note, Options Monthly Newsletter highlighted the UCLA “Street Law” class at Del Rey High School, where UCLA Law students have the opportunity to learn how the law can work for them. Professor Bergman developed this course.

Judge Teresa Estrada-Mullaney ‘77 and Professor Laura E. Gomez conduct workshop on Institutional Racism

On April 18, Judge Teresa Estrada-Mullaney ’77 and Professor Laura E. Gomez gave a workshop on “Institutional Racism” to judges and court officials who attended a statewide conference on Race and Ethnic Bias in the Courts.

Judge Estrada-Mullaney was first elected to the San Luis Obispo County Superior Court in 1996 and currently presides over its Family Law Division. Since 1998, Judge Estrada-Mullaney has served on the California Judicial Council’s Advisory Committee on Access and Fairness in the Courts, a statewide task force of judges charged with making the state courts responsive to California’s diverse population.

Professor Gomez has taught at UCLA since 1994, and she recently completed two years as co-director of the Law School’s new Critical Race Studies Concentration. The Concentration — the first of its kind in any American law school — offers upper-year law students the opportunity to complete a special series of courses and participate in extra-curricular activities that will prepare them for careers in civil rights law, public policy in racially diverse states, or work in any sub-field of law with a client base that is racially diverse.

The April conference, held near the San Francisco Airport (in Burlingame), was sponsored by the state courts’ Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER), and was the first statewide conference on racial bias in the California courts. Hundreds of judges and court officials from California and from across the nation attended the conference, which was followed by the 14th annual meeting of the National Consortium of State Task Forces and Commissions on Racial and Ethnic Bias in the Courts.
MAJOR GIFTS

LEADERSHIP IN THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICE

Kerry Bresnahan
Profile

Kerry A. Bresnahan ’89, Assistant Dean of External Affairs, is the first UCLA Law graduate to head the school’s major gifts, annual fund, alumni relations, communications, special events and graphics areas. She received her B.A. in history from the University of California, Berkeley in 1986 and her J.D. from UCLA in 1989, where she was Order of the Coif. Following law school, Kerry worked on real estate transactions at O’Melveny & Myers LLP in both Los Angeles and New York City. Later she worked on similar projects at The Port Authority of New York & New Jersey. In 1999 Kerry went to work as a major gifts officer at the University of San Francisco. This dramatic career shift gave full expression to her increasing interest in fund-raising, particularly in higher education. The move proved fortuitous for USF, as she secured a $2.5 million gift and recruited two donors to serve on the university’s Board of Trustees. Kerry took her current position at UCLA School of Law in January 2002.

With Kerry at the helm, UCLA Law External Affairs now has a full team dedicated to major gift fundraising, developing enduring relations with alumni, increasing the Law Annual Fund, continuing to stage memorable special events, and maintaining high quality public communications and publications. Kerry’s goal is to raise the recently expanded department to a new level of excellence.

Donna Colin
Profile

Donna S. Colin, newly appointed Director of Major Gifts, brings to the law school a wealth of experience in fundraising and donor relations. After attending UCLA where she earned a B.A. in linguistics and an M.B.A. with a concentration in marketing, Donna worked as Financial Aid Director and Development Officer at Southwestern University School of Law. Following appointments in marketing support and account management at General Electric, UCLA Medical Center, and Burroughs Corporation, Donna joined the staff of Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, where she has worked for the past fifteen years. Initially she worked as Director of Physician Relations and Business Development Manager, but she ultimately found her focus and passion in fundraising and donor relations.

As the Director of Major and Planned Gifts, Donna worked closely with research faculty to develop programs and raise funds for Children’s Hospital Los Angeles Research Institute and coordinated a feasibility study in advance of a $350 million capital campaign. She subsequently supported the launch of the capital campaign and created a new donor relations program that integrated all aspects of Children’s Hospital Los Angeles Foundation operations, including major and planned gifts, gift processing, and special events.

Charles Cannon
Profile

Charles G. Cannon has been working since January of this year as Director of Annual and Special Giving. Thirteen years ago, Charles joined in the initial planning and design phases of the expanded Hugh and Hazel Darling Law Library, acting as liaison between building contractors, campus officials, and law school administrators, specifically charged with tracking the wide variety of project details that ultimately determined both the beauty and functionality of the splendid building addition. Following the 1998 opening of the library wing, Dean Varat redirected the experience Charles gained from the building project to develop a more vital external law school presence. The many events and publications that contributed to the successful celebration of the school’s 50th anniversary were supported largely by the Office of Events & Electronic Presentation with Charles as Director.

In his new capacity in the Office of External Affairs, Charles has accepted the challenge of steering the Law Annual Fund towards its critical current goal of raising $1 million annually in unrestricted gifts. Particularly at a time of dramatic reductions in the state and university budgets, this unrestricted support will enable the School of Law to meet the challenges of providing the best possible legal training to our students and an enriching and productive environment for our faculty.

Charles received his B.A. in philosophy from UCLA and is proud that his daughter recently graduated from UCLA and his son just enrolled at the university.
UCLA School of Law Meets the Evan Frankel Foundation’s $1 Million Challenge

By Charles Cannon

The Evan Frankel Foundation presented Dean Jonathan Varat with a second $1 million gift in support of the Evan Frankel Law and Policy Program and the Environmental Law Center after the School of Law secured $1 million in matching funds. The Frankel Foundation’s $2 million in gifts, the $1 million gift from Luanne Wells endowing the Frank Wells Chair in Environmental Law, and the recently realized $1 million to match the most recent Frankel Foundation gift, have laid a solid foundation for the future quality and stability of the Evan Frankel Law and Policy Program and the overall Environmental Law Center of which it is such a vital part.

The School of Law is proud of its expanding role as one of the nation’s leading centers for the study of environmental law and policy. Of particular importance is the bringing together of scholars and policy makers from many disciplines, as occurred recently at the Inaugural Frankel Symposium: Integrating Human Communities and Natural Environments (see related story on page 33). The extraordinary support of the Evan Frankel Foundation ensures that the law school will be able to meet its long-term goals of producing creative, pragmatic and forward-looking interdisciplinary environmental law and policy scholarship; providing first-rate clinical educational training to law students through participation in ongoing environmental litigation and regulatory negotiation; contributing in a significant and meaningful way to environmental clean-up and quality in Southern California; and developing a future generation of expert environmental lawyers.

The Bruce I. Hochman Award for Excellence in the Study of Tax Law

By Donna Colin

The distinguished personal and professional achievements of Bruce Hochman have been permanently honored through the endowment of The Bruce I. Hochman Award for Excellence in the Study of Tax Law. The Award was established this spring by a generous gift of $300,000 from his wife Harriet Hochman, Avram and Dorothy Salkin, and the firm of Hochman, Salkin, Rettig, Toscher & Perez. Mr. Hochman, a member of UCLA Law School’s first graduating class in 1952, died on August 12, 2001.

The Award will be given annually to the graduating student at the law school who demonstrates outstanding proficiency in the field of tax law and best exemplifies the principles that personified Mr. Hochman. At this year’s commencement ceremony in May, the inaugural Bruce I. Hochman Award for excellence in the Study of Tax Law was presented to Justin Paul Gurvitz ’02. Professor Kirk Stark, who taught Justin in various tax law courses, describes him as an exceptionally gifted student with a genuine talent and enthusiasm for tax law. Justin, who married shortly after graduation, has accepted a position at Sullivan and Cromwell’s Los Angeles office.

Bruce Hochman, a leading attorney for over 40 years specializing in civil and criminal tax law litigation, was also a generous philanthropist and dynamic leader of various civic organizations. Harriet Hochman recalled that, at a tribute dinner in March of this year, former Vice President Al Gore described Mr. Hochman as “…a man of flawless integrity,” “…a very good friend,” and “…an American success story...”
The Barbra Davis Scholarship memorializes the career of the late Barbra Davis, who graduated from UCLA School of Law in 1984 and managed the Los Angeles office of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP. She died on September 11, 2000, from cancer. UCLA Law student Samantha Black ’03 was selected to receive the scholarship for 2002.

“Barbra was a classmate of mine at UCLA School of Law, a section mate even. We came to Paul Hastings together where she was a tremendous leader, and dedicated to her clients and her colleagues,” explained Greg Nitzkowski ’84, managing partner at Paul Hastings. “Her partners and the firm have established this scholarship to honor her, remember her as our friend and partner, and to assist students who, like Barbra, want to study and practice employment law.”

Ms. Davis prepared a list of “Words to Live By” as she became increasingly ill, and sent it to her colleagues at Paul Hastings weeks before her death. “Live in the present,” she said, “My focus has always been the future. As a lawyer, it was imperative that I met deadlines, those that were imposed by the courts, by clients, as well as self-imposed… I was always planning a fabulous vacation to some exotic place… A life threatening disease changes all that; it robs one of the future… After becoming ill I became acutely aware that I had so little time left that I needed to stay in the present and take each moment of pleasure from whatever time I had left.

I would drive into work and marvel at the early-morning cloud formations. At work, I often thought how much I enjoyed talking to colleagues and clients.”

She continued, “In short, I never realized how many people cared about me and, in turn how many people I cared about. In the same way that I had failed to focus on the present moment, I failed to take time to be thankful for all the friends and loving family that surrounded me… Also I became aware of how many people in my life were important to me, whose caring contributed to my well being. These were not just immediate family members and long-time friends, but colleagues at the firm, secretaries and support staff on whose support I relied in every meaning of the word, and clients, who frequently expressed gratitude for my counsel.”

And finally, she said, “Though I doubt that my small insights - discovered by countless poets, theologians, and philosophers (not to mention ordinary individuals like me) - will influence any of you to change, I share them with you to explain how much you have meant to me. God bless. If I have a spirit - and I hope I do - it is here with you.”

Samantha was selected for the scholarship because of her extraordinary academic record and dedication to labor law. Incidentally, she had spent her first summer as an associate at Paul Hastings, and had been invited back for this summer. In accepting the award, she said, “I am sorry that I had never had the honor of meeting Barbra Davis, but in this room today and in my association with Paul Hastings, I have heard her spoken of so fondly, with such distinction and warmth, that I am humbled to be granted this scholarship in her name. I will continue to bring distinction to her memory by being the best attorney I can be.”
The UCLA School of Law is entering the campaign finance debate. Professor Daniel H. Lowenstein, a nationally renowned expert on election law, has been awarded $1.1 million over two years by The Pew Charitable Trusts to study campaign disclosure systems in the fifty states. Professor Lowenstein will be working closely with the Center for Governmental Studies and the California Voter Foundation to develop a set of Uniform State Disclosure Standards and grade each state on the completeness and transparency of its political disclosure practices.

Restoring public trust in elections is the primary impetus for funding this project, according to Sean Treglia ’89, program officer at The Pew Charitable Trusts. “Disclosure of contributions is the most effective way to mitigate the potential corrupting influence of money in politics. The Campaign Disclosure Project embodies the timeless idea of Justice Louis Brandeis — ‘Sunlight is said to be the best disinfectant’ — because it promotes the importance of instantaneous disclosure of campaign contributions via the Internet.”

The project has three components. The first is a systematic assessment of campaign disclosure laws and practices in all fifty states. UCLA School of Law and the Center for Governmental Studies (CGS: www.cgs.org) will spearhead this part of the study. Professor Lowenstein authored California’s disclosure law over twenty-five years ago, and it is still regarded as the model law by most states. Even so, “state disclosure laws vary dramatically,” observed Robert Stern, president of CGS. “All states require some form of campaign disclosure. What is disclosed, however, is open to debate.”

Another component is the creation of Uniform State Disclosure Standards. The Standards will be drafted by an advisory commission of academics, practitioners, journalists, officeholders and state election officials, with the expectation that states will adopt them whole or in part. Colleen McAndrews ’86, partner in the political law firm Bell, McAndrews, Hillachak & Davidian, LLP, notes that there is “such a patchwork of laws among states, and among state and local jurisdictions, that it has made participating in politics unnecessarily complex.” Uniformity in campaign finance disclosure will lower the barriers to participation by decreasing the costs of filing and lowering the barriers to gaining access to information.

The project’s third component involves issuing grades for each state’s disclosure laws and practices. “This grading project will enable progress toward greater transparency and accountability of money in politics,” according to Kim Alexander, president of the California Voter Foundation (CVF: www.calvoter.org). Alexander and CVF issued “Digital Sunlight Awards” in 1999 based upon each state’s use of electronic filing and online disclosure. Alexander and CVF will be working closely with us at the

UCLA School of Law’s Empirical Research Group to refine the methods used to grade disclosure laws and practices in the states.

Uniform State Disclosure Standards will be released in two waves. Preliminary findings will be announced at a press conference in Washington, DC in May 2003, and the final product will be released in March 2004.

The Pew Charitable Trusts (www.pewtrusts.com) support nonprofit activities in the areas of culture, education, the environment, health and human services, public policy and religion. Based in Philadelphia, the Trusts make strategic investments to help organizations and citizens develop practical solutions to difficult problems. In 2001, with approximately $4.3 billion in assets, the Trusts committed more than $230 million to 175 nonprofit organizations.

Joseph Doherty is the Associate Director of the UCLA School of Law Empirical Research Group and the project director of The Campaign Disclosure Project.
Each year, hundreds of alumni and friends of the UCLA School of Law provide vital operating support through unrestricted gifts to the Law Annual Fund. We gratefully acknowledge the 2,074 gifts received between July 1, 2001, and June 30, 2002. These gifts provided a record high $911,723 in discretionary money. Of this total, 42% was donated by the 103 members of the Dean’s Circle.

In the current State and University budgetary climate, expanding the base of our unrestricted annual support is crucial. In order for us to ensure that the next generation of UCLA Law students will receive the highest quality education, we must continue and enlarge upon our existing support. We appreciate all those who continue to understand the importance of annual giving and invite you to join your fellow alumni and friends of the school in striving to achieve $1,000,000 in annual unrestricted gifts.

Your annual gift to the UCLA School of Law is helping the Dean:

- Recruit and retain the nation’s most talented faculty
- Enhance the resources of the Hugh & Hazel Darling Law Library, promoting a wide variety of research and study opportunities
- Support scholarly research
- Support student-run publications and organizations in many areas of interest
- Enhance the School’s national visibility by enabling us to host special lecturers, visiting scholars, colloquia and symposia
- Seize opportunities to develop new programs that will meet faculty and student needs in the rapidly changing legal world

For additional information, please contact Charles Cannon, Director of Annual and Special Giving: phone (310) 206-1121; fax (310) 825-4404; e-mail cannon@law.ucla.edu.
In its first year, the law school’s Charles R. Williams Project on Sexual Orientation Law established itself as an important center for the discussion and research of sexual orientation law and public policy. Founded last fall with a gift of over $2.5 million from philanthropist Charles R. Williams, the Williams Project’s primary goal is the production and dissemination of rigorous and independent scholarly research. During its inaugural year, the new think tank organized three conferences, convened a national roundtable of legal and social science experts, and created and awarded a new sexual orientation law summer fellowship for UCLA law students.

On February 8, 2002, the Project held its first conference, Sexual Orientation Law 2002. The response to this conference, the first of an annual series of updates on sexual orientation law to be hosted by the Project, was so favorable that the event had to be moved to the law school’s largest lecture hall—and it was still standing room only. Designed to provide an opportunity for practitioners, scholars, and students to keep abreast of the latest developments in the field, over fifty practicing attorneys attended and received continuing legal education (CLE) credit.

Constitutional scholar and USC Law Professor Erwin Chemerinsky opened the day with a keynote address analyzing the history of the Supreme Court’s treatment of sexual orientation law cases. His remarks were followed by three panels focused on developments during 2001 in litigation, legal scholarship, and legislation.
Immediately following the annual update, over 200 scholars, students, and members of the legal community attended a gala reception in the Hugh & Hazel Darling Law Library Tower to inaugurate the Williams Project. At the reception, UCLA Vice Chancellor Rory Hume thanked Charles R. Williams for his historic gift, the largest ever to an academic institution for sexual orientation studies, and Dean Jonathan D. Varat presented a welcoming declaration from California Governor Gray Davis.

Professor William B. Rubenstein, Faculty Chair of the Project, and Brad Sears, the Project’s Director, discussed how the Project would fill a critical need for a non-partisan think tank on sexual orientation law and the law school’s goal of expanding the Project into a national institute with a $10 million endowment.

On May 17, 2002, the Williams Project brought together legal and social science experts from around the country to discuss the use of empirical research about lesbians and gay men in legal and public policy arguments. During the roundtable discussion, five scholars presented their original empirical research about lesbians and gay men, and then other researchers, lawyers, and legal scholars responded. Papers were presented on the demographics of lesbians and gay men, the issues facing sexual minority youth, the effectiveness of state sexual orientation anti-discrimination laws, and the economic consequences of the recognition of same-sex partnership benefits and rights.

The structure of the roundtable, which included twenty-eight participants invited for their expertise in the topic areas, provided for a rich exploration of the papers and of overarching issues. The discussion frequently focused on the significant obstacles to gathering reliable data about lesbians and gay men, including the difficulty in defining sexual orientation, individuals’ reluctance in identifying themselves as gay or lesbian, and the failure of most government funded surveys, including the U.S. Census, to include questions about sexual orientation. Participants also recognized the need for broader dissemination of existing empirical research and for more forums, such as the Project’s roundtable, that bring social scientists and legal scholars together.

Also during its first year, the Williams Project hosted two additional half-day conferences; one focusing on the ability of lesbians and gay men to secure legal recognition of their parental rights and the other on immigration policies that exclude lesbians and gay men and persons living with HIV from the United States. In addition, Project Faculty Chair, Professor William Rubenstein, spoke at a conference for California’s appellate and Supreme Court judiciary and taught Sexual Orientation Law to law school students. The Project also awarded its first summer fellowship to 2L student Stephanie Plotin, who used the fellowship to work this past summer at the Los Angeles Office of Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund.
Charles Williams Receives Award

In recognition of Charles Williams for his historic gift and the success of the Project during its first year, LHR, the lesbian and gay bar association of Los Angeles, selected Mr. Williams to receive its 2002 President’s Award. The award is given each year to a person who has made a significant contribution in the field of lesbian and gay rights. Mr. Williams received the award on October 19, 2003, at LHR’s annual awards dinner.

This year, the Williams Project plans to continue its successful work. Planned activities include a visiting scholars series, its second annual update on sexual orientation law, educational training for California judges, and the publication of a new journal on sexual orientation law and public policy.

The Charles Williams Project on Sexual Orientation Law
Fall 2002 and Winter 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 30, 2002</td>
<td>Sex and HIV in Correctional Facilities: Legal and Public Health Perspectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 25, 2002</td>
<td>Visiting Scholars Series: Professor Kenji Yoshino, Associate Professor of Law, Yale Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15, 2002</td>
<td>Visiting Scholars Series: Edward Stein, Associate Professor of Law, Cardozo Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 9, 2003</td>
<td>California Same-Sex Marriage Litigation: A Roundtable Discussion (invitation only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 7, 2003</td>
<td>Sexual Orientation Law 2003: The Williams Project’s Second Annual Update on Sexual Orientation Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 7, 2003</td>
<td>Visiting Scholars Series: Janet Halley, Professor of Law, Harvard Law School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information about these and other Williams Project events, visit the Project’s website at www.law.ucla.edu/williamsproject or contact Brad Sears, Project Director at (310) 267-4382 or WilliamsProject@law.ucla.edu. If you would like to be added to the Williams Project mailing list to receive announcements about future activities, please send your address, phone number, and e-mail address to WilliamsProject@law.ucla.edu.

Rosenfield Symposium

By David Sklansky

Leading torts scholars from across the nation and beyond gathered at UCLA on April 19, 2002, for a symposium paying tribute to the memory of Professor Gary T. Schwartz, who died the previous July. Participants included Dean Mark Grady [class of ’73] of George Mason University School of Law, Justice Allen Linden of the Federal Court of Canada, and Professors George Fletcher of Columbia University School of Law, Michael Green of Wake Forest University School of Law, James Henderson Jr. of Cornell Law School, Jeffrey O’Connell of the University of Virginia School of Law, Robert Rabin of Stanford Law School, Kenneth Simons of Boston University School of Law, Jane Stapleton of Australian National University, Stephen Sugarman of Boalt Hall, and Ernest Weinrib of the University of Toronto.

Papers from the symposium and a set of tributes to Professor Schwartz will appear in a forthcoming issue of the UCLA Law Review. Katherine Ku, editor-in-chief of the law review, says the issue “will reflect memories of Professor Gary Schwartz as a whole person — as an energetic, brilliant individual who shared his talents and joys with others, a friend as well as a scholar and a teacher.” The symposium was underwritten by the Ann C. Rosenfield Symposium Fund, established by a generous gift from the Ann C. Rosenfield Estate, directed by David Leveton, ’62.
The Evan Frankel Environmental Law and Policy Program’s inaugural Frankel Symposium, titled “Integrating Human Communities and Natural Environments,” brought noted scholars, government leaders and lawyers to the law school March 8 for a day-long discussion that focused on the important issues of “smart growth,” open space preservation, collaborative land use processes and the environmental risks associated with land use siting decisions. Led by School of Law faculty moderators Ann Carlson, Jody Freeman and Jonathan Zasloff, the symposium featured presentations by Gerald E. Frug, the Louis D. Brandeis Professor of Law at the Harvard Law School and the UCLA School of Law’s first Frankel Fellow, and by Anthony Downs of the Brookings Institution, Mary Nichols, California’s Secretary for Resources, Will Rogers, president of the Trust for Public Land and Manuel Pastor, professor of Latin American and Latino Studies at UC Santa Cruz, among others. Dr. Jared Diamond of the UCLA School of Medicine, and the Pulitzer Prize-winning author of Guns, Germs and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies, delivered the keynote address. The event, which was co-sponsored by UCLA’s Institute of the Environment and the Lewis Center for Regional Policy Studies, drew a large and diverse audience who participated actively in the day’s discussions. The success of the day bodes well for the future of the law school’s Environmental Law Center, which was officially launched with this first Frankel Symposium.
Frankel Symposium

▲ Gerald E. Frug (Harvard Law School), UCLA's first Frankel Fellow, outlines for the audience his recent work on smart growth issues.

▲ Robert C. Ellickson (Yale Law School) from the smart growth panel meets with Professor Ann Carlson and Professor Kal Raustiala after his panel presentation.

▲ From Left; Ernie Frankel and Andy Sabin, Trustees of the Evan Frankel Foundation, join Dean Varat at the inaugural symposium March 8, 2002.

▲ Dr. Jared Diamond delivered the keynote address at the Faculty Center.

▲ Professor Jonathan Zasloff leads a discussion of the ideas presented by Anthony Downs (Brookings Institution).

▲ Ernie Frankel (Frankel Foundation Trustee), Assistant Dean Kerry Bresnahan ('89), Dean Jon Varat and John Gilderbloom (University of Louisville) continue the discussion of collaborative land use decisions.

▲ Gerald E. Frug (Harvard Law School), UCLA's first Frankel Fellow, outlines for the audience his recent work on smart growth issues.

▲ Robert C. Ellickson (Yale Law School) from the smart growth panel meets with Professor Ann Carlson and Professor Kal Raustiala after his panel presentation.

▲ From Left; Ernie Frankel and Andy Sabin, Trustees of the Evan Frankel Foundation, join Dean Varat at the inaugural symposium March 8, 2002.
O'Melveny & Meyers LLP senior partner Warren Christopher delivered a particularly poignant message on Sunday, May 12, as the featured speaker of the School of Law’s 2002 Commencement. Mr. Christopher, a Navy veteran who had clerked for United States Supreme Court Justice William O. Douglas, sharply focused on recent events and implored UCLA Law’s 51st graduating class to incorporate trust in their professional and personal lives. The former U.S. Secretary of State is an authority on matters of trust, as he was instrumental in brokering Arab-Israeli peace treaties; cease-fire agreements in Bosnia; and international environmental plans during the Clinton Administration. He was awarded the nation’s highest civilian award, the Presidential Medal of Freedom, for his role in 1981 as the chief American negotiator who ended the Iranian hostage crises. Closer to home, in 1991, Mr. Christopher acted as Chairman of the Independent Commission on the Los Angeles Police Department, commonly called the “Christopher Commission.” Mr. Christopher has also authored two books: Chances of a Lifetime, Scribner 2001; and In the Stream of History: Shaping Foreign Policy for a New Era, Stanford University Press 1998. “Warren Christopher is a legend here and abroad for his Lifetime, Scribner 2001; and In the Stream of History: Shaping Foreign Policy for a New Era, Stanford University Press 1998. “Warren Christopher is a legend here and abroad for his steadfast commitment to ethics, civility, and diplomacy. His career has embodied the values that we share, admire, and hope to impart to our graduates as they assume the responsibility of their noble profession,” said UCLA Law Dean Jonathan D. Varat. His remarks at Commencement 2002 were so well received and so important to our profession that we reprint them in full.

TRUST
By Warren Christopher

“For a lawyer, trust is the currency of the realm.”

As the old saying goes, to be trusted is a greater compliment than to be loved. For a lawyer, trust is the currency of the realm. Certainly, a lawyer needs many talents to succeed — ability, diligence, stamina, a fine education, a little charm — but none is more vital than the ability to create and sustain trust.

In recent months we’ve witnessed egregious breaches of trust — betrayals so blatant and broad ranging that it seems almost impossible that they occurred within only a few months.

■ A renowned religious institution has repeatedly condoned and covered up the sexual abuse of vulnerable seminary students and young parishioners by priests and bishops. With disturbing frequency, the church compounded the felony by reassigning pedophile priests to other parishes where they continued to abuse their positions of trust.

■ A major corporation distorted its books to inflate its profits, hide its losses, and drive up its share prices. Some of its corporate officers betrayed their positions of trust by selling their stock at inflated prices while everyday workers and small investors were required or induced to hold the rapidly falling stock — and to watch their retirement funds melt away.

■ A major accounting firm breached its trust to the investing public by approving gross manipulations of the company’s corporate books, and, when questions were raised, by destroying corporate records that would reveal the true facts.

■ Three of the greatest American historians have recently acknowledged breaches of trust to their readers and students: two by copying extensively from the work of other authors, and the third by fabricating his role in the Vietnam War.

■ A renowned preacher, one of America’s icons, has acknowledged making statements so anti-Semitic in content as to breach the trust of the millions who have looked to him for spiritual leadership.

■ In Los Angeles, police officers have violated their oaths and disgraced their badges by planting guns and drugs and testifying falsely to convict the innocent.

Each of these transgressions has exacted a terrible toll on the persons and institutions involved. Once lost, trust is almost impossible to regain. Those betrayed become cynical, hypersensitive, and unwilling to trust again. Thousands of honorable accountants have been unable to salvage a viable entity from the misdeeds of a fraction of their partners. Enron is in bankruptcy and, if it ever emerges, will be only a shadow of its former self. The stain of corruption is so deep that a baseball team actually paid the corporation $2 million to take its name off their baseball stadium. The efforts of the wayward historians to explain their breaches of trust have only made matters worse. Even an institution that specializes in forgiveness is having a difficult time in regaining the confidence of its parishioners. In a world that presents too many opportunities to lose trust and too few to create it, what is a fresh-
minted lawyer to do? How can you engender trust and how can you keep from losing it? While I have no global answer to these questions, I do have a few suggestions.

First, focus intently on earning the trust of your clients. Trust tends to be built slowly, through small incremental steps. Grasp every opportunity to show clients that you care about their problems, that you are on their side. Remember what a rare privilege it is to be authorized to speak for someone else, so measure your words with care and precision.

Keep your promises. If you say that you will do something by a given date, don’t fail to meet that deadline. If you undertake an urgent mission for a client, report the results the same day — even if it means giving a preliminary report at the end of a marathon negotiating session. The client may be pacing the floor waiting to hear. When you report to the client, it’s all right to be diplomatic, but don’t fudge on the results. Create an atmosphere of mutual candor. Be scrupulously honest, and let the client know you expect the same in return.

Having earned the trust of your client, take every precaution not to betray it. The client has a right to expect that you won’t take on any matter that conflicts with his or her interests. Many times in your career, you will be tempted to risk a conflict because a proposed new matter flatters your talents or may fatten your wallet. You will avoid a lot of heartbreak and sleepless nights if you just say “No.” It’s a good idea to follow one of Bob Jones’s rules of golf, which ordains that you “call the close ones against yourself.”

Your integrity — the trust reposed in you — will often be tested when you are asked for an opinion on a difficult question. If a proposed course of action is of doubtful legality, the skillful lawyer will usually find a way to say, “No, but here’s how you can legally accomplish your goal.” Sometimes, though, the only answer is a flat, “No.” When that happens, be direct and firm in your advice, and don’t try to hide behind section numbers and case names. The client is asking for your considered judgment, not a demonstration of how much you know. Don’t be swayed by the importance of the client or by how much the client would appreciate a “Yes.”

Second, earn the trust of the courts or other agencies before which you practice. One of the senior lawyers who introduced me to the courtroom was almost obsessed with gaining and maintaining the confidence of the court. Every move he made in the courtroom reflected that goal. He never made factual statements that he couldn’t back up, never stretched a court decision beyond its fair meaning, and never asked a court to make a ruling that wasn’t legally and factually defensible. It was an exacting standard, but when it came time for decision, he wanted the court to have faith in his integrity and to trust what he had said. You may think this an old-fashioned approach in a day when an attorney seldom appears before the same judge twice. Indeed, there are hundreds of judges in the California trial courts and scores in the federal system. But judges do talk to each other. A lawyer who cuts corners can expect that his or her conduct will not escape notice in the judges’ dining rooms. On the other hand, if you prove worthy of trust, that news will travel, too. It’s a reputation well worth having.

Third, earn the trust of your fellow practitioners. When you give your word, keep it. If a client wants to breach an agreement previously approved, let it be known that he or she will need a different lawyer to do it. There are many lawyers in this city who have sharply divergent ideologies, who regularly represent clients with radically different interests, but who get along famously because they trust and respect each other.

I hear that it has become fashionable these days to yell and scream, to kick and gouge, to think that anything goes when you’re dealing with another lawyer — for example, in strident phone calls or nasty negotiations. I tell you without hesitation that taking this approach will risk your reputation and impair your effectiveness. In the long run, it will be much better if you create a reputation for treating your fellow

Former Secretary of State Warren Christopher delivers the 2002 Commencement Address.
lawyers with civility, for representing your clients forcefully but fairly, and for remembering that the best result is usually one in which neither party is vanquished. In the end, you will find that gaining the trust of your peers in the law is one of the most satisfying things you can do.

There is a fourth kind of trust that’s important to a lawyer. It’s trust in your own judgment. In your life in the law, you will often be tempted to defer to the judgment of others or to imitate them. There is nothing wrong with role models — as long as they are the right ones. But as you grow in the law, you should depend more on yourself and less on others. Of course, you’ll want to assemble the facts, the precedents, and the expert advice necessary to enable you to come to the right decision. You’ll want to be deliberate and not lurch at a decision in order to get it off your mind or to make yourself look bold and decisive. But you can never know everything, so you should develop a process for deciding when you know enough to trust your own instinct and judgment. Geneticists are fond of saying that 99 percent of the material making up a human being is the same for each of us. But that one percent of variation makes a terrific difference. Be true to yourself. Don’t worry about standing apart from the crowd. Create your own standard, trust your own judgment — and live by it.

We’re all haunted by the specter of those who have betrayed their trust — priests and preachers, executives and accountants, historians, police officers — and lawyers. Here on this beautiful spring day, in the presence of family and good friends, resolve that you won’t join them. Resolve instead to nurture and honor the trust that is being placed in you today.

"The world this year—as in too many other years in history—seems especially turbulent, tumultuous and tragic. In times like these—and in better times, too—lawyers have the potential—and I believe the responsibility—to be a vital force for peacemaking, balancing liberty and security, and helping to build prosperous and cohesive communities. As I wish you the satisfaction and rewards that your education—put to good use in the service of others—can bring, I ask that you regularly consider the many ways, both large and small, in which you can enlist in the ranks of the constructive.”

Dean Jonathan Varat
2002 Commencement
According to the Rule of 99, for every one unit increase in what you know, your awareness of what you don’t know increases by 99 units... Now, let me be clear: like most groundbreaking ideas, this one did not originate with me. In fact, there are many others who have articulated The Rule of 99 using far more eloquent language than I can muster. For example, as Historian William Durant once put it: “As we acquire more knowledge, things do not become more comprehensible, but more mysterious—education,” Durant said, “is a progressive discovery of our own ignorance.” Or consider how British Poet Ronald Duncan once put it: “Compared to the pond of knowledge, our ignorance remains Atlantic. Indeed,” Duncan concluded, “the horizon of the unknown recedes as we approach it.” These are wonderful quotes—they beautifully capture the idea that I’m trying to convey. But since I could not plausibly or legally claim them as my own, we are stuck with The Rule of 99.

...The fact is, as you experience the torment of The Rule of 99, you are also—whether you know it or not—gradually accruing its benefits. To be sure, these benefits are subtle—unlike The Rule of 99 itself, they won’t wake you up in the middle of the night. Nevertheless, these benefits are, in my view, the fundamental building blocks of a successful and fulfilling career as a lawyer.

The first, and perhaps most obvious benefit—is Humility. Once you recognize “that the horizon of the unknown recedes as you approach it” you are well on your way to cultivating a true appreciation of the limits of your own knowledge. Now I understand that the legal profession is not generally known for its humility. And the truth is, much of this starts in law school. Law students are taught to challenge and question everything. For every argument, there’s a counter-argument. For every answer, there’s a better answer. For every complaint, there’s a cross-complaint.

...The Rule of 99 exposes the myth of the clever, know-it-all lawyer. What it promises instead is Genuine Humility—the sort that fosters curiosity, open-mindedness, and a never-ending quest for a better understanding of the world. Here the model is not the fictional (and strategically humble) Ben Matlock, but rather the real-world Mahatma Gandhi, whose training as a lawyer no doubt played at least some role in leading him to conclude that “one must be humble as the dust before he can discover truth.”

A second benefit you should expect, as your ignorance explodes over the next few years, is Friendship. Think about it. What is the natural human reaction when you find yourself in an extraordinarily challenging situation? I have a one-word answer in mind: HELP! Look around you. This is a benefit that you have already begun to experience—fellow students from your first year sections, the guy who taped that class for you when you were sick, co-authors of your class outlines for Evidence or...Corporate Tax. One fundamental lesson of The Rule of 99 is that nobody can do this alone. And just like learning the law is not a go-it-alone type project, practicing law is very much a team undertaking. Over the next few years you will likely make some of the best friends of your entire life... But there is one particular type of friendship that deserves special mention in connection with your upcoming face-off with The Rule of 99—and that is The Mentor. What’s great about the Mentor is that she’s been through it all before and, now—almost unbelievably—she is taking the time and effort to make YOU a better lawyer...

Finally, along with Humility and Friendship, the lifelong battle you are about to begin with The Rule of 99 promises to bring you Wisdom...I have a hunch, but it is really just a hunch, that wisdom is actually a whole package of attributes—a combination of things like self-knowledge, generosity, empathy, and thoughtfulness. I also know that one does not come by wisdom quickly. There is no BAR/BRI class on Wisdom. It can’t be tracked down on LEXIS or Westlaw.

...You are in for a tumultuous few years. Law can be fun and exciting—a real thrill ride. It is—as after all—at the center of some of the most dramatic events in the human experience: crime & punishment, justice & equality, mergers & acquisitions. Still, there will be times when you will feel like you’ve taken steps back, and only 1 step forward. When that happens, keep your head up. Be patient. Enjoy the ride. Gradually, over time, you will realize that you’ve lived a life of grace and fulfillment—accumulating along the way plenty of humility, friendship and wisdom... Please—keep in touch.
Entertainment Law Symposium


▲ Movie Merchandising Panel moderated by Symposium Co-Chairman Laurence M. Marks ’78.

▲ Keynote Speaker John Schulman, Warner Bros.


▲ Symposium Sponsor West Group: (seated left to right) Melissa Hagar and Danæ Weidemann, (standing) Scott Wilson.

▲ Film Finance Panel: Mark Seiler, Gary Concoff and John Delaverson.
events

Law Musical

February 2, faculty, students, and staff joined together for the 20th annual law school musical, “No Diploma” sung to the tune of “Oklahoma.” Professor Ken Graham invites participants for next year. If you are interested, please contact him at graham@law.ucla.edu.

David Nimmer, “Who Owns the Bible”

Visiting Professor David Nimmer presented the copyright issues surrounding control of the Dead Sea Scrolls in his February 14 lecture, “Who Owns the Bible.”
UCLA Law Review Symposium

The UCLA Law Review Symposium, which has been endowed by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, was held on March 1. This year’s symposium was entitled “New Forms of Governance: Ceding Public Power to Private Actors.”

Dean Jonathan Varat conveys the law school’s gratitude to Harriet Posner ’84 of Skadden, Arps.

Third Party Governance Panelists Prof. Sharon Dolovich and Henry M. Levin.

Professors Kal Raustiala and Richard Steinberg.

Prof. Jody Freeman, Harriet Posner ’84, Dean Jonathan Varat and Associate Dean Barbara Varat.
New Admits, Students, Faculty and Staff Gather at Annual New Admissions Reception April 14

The Melville B. Nimmer Memorial Lecture March 11

This year’s Melville B. Nimmer Memorial Lecture, “Copyright and Its Metaphors,” was delivered by Mark Rose, Professor of English at UC Santa Barbara. Many of Melville Nimmer’s family members attended.

(First row) Gloria Nimmer and David Nimmer.


Associate Dean David Sklansky
Scott Dominguez ’03
Jeanne Berges ’04 and Paula Bingham Goldstein ’03
Prof. Stephen Yeazell
Thirteenth Annual Public Interest Awards April 15

Top: Dean Jonathan Varat, Grace Song, Prof. Khaled Abou El Fadl, Cherif Abou El Fadl, Azmeralda Alfi, Dr. Omar Alfi.

Antonia Hernandez ’74, member of the UCLA School of Law Board of Advisors.

Bottom: (left to right) Nancy Mintie ’79, Do Kim ’02, Prof. Frances Olsen, Beth Caldwell ’03, Prof. Khaled Abou El Fadl, Madeline Janis-Aparicio ’86, Antonia Hernandez ’74, Dean Jonathan Varat.
The UCLA School of Law and the Law Alumni Association honored William Vaughn ’55 for Professional Achievement and Stewart Kwoh ’74 for Public and Community Service at this year’s Alumni of the Year Awards luncheon held April 16, 2002, at the Omni Hotel in downtown Los Angeles. Many law school alumni, as well as the honorees’ families, co-workers and friends, attended the event.

William Vaughn ’55 and George Schiavelli ’74.

(left to right) Claire Vaughn, William Vaughn ’55, Dean Jonathan Varat, 2001-2002 Alumni Board President Shedrick O. Davis ’87, Stewart Kwoh ’74, Patricia Kwoh.

Stewart Kwoh ’74.
UCLA Law Students Hammer out Agreement in Uzbekistan

By Cody Cass

With the breakup of the Soviet Union ten years ago, the deadliest array of biological and chemical weapons in the world was left unguarded on a small island in the Aral Sea. Four hundred miles from Iran, 800 miles from Iraq, and 500 miles from Afghanistan lies what has been dubbed “the world’s largest anthrax burial ground.” With no troops, money, or plan for cleanup, the anthrax cache was free for the taking. In its quest to protect the world from this terrorist’s treasure trove, the Department of Defense enlisted the assistance of three UCLA Law students.

An October 22, 2001 agreement with Uzbekistan to clean up lethal anthrax deposits on Vozrozhdeniya Island bears the signature of Jonathan Steinsapir ’02, and the fingerprints of classmates Emily Daughtry ’03 and Erin Wilson ’02.

The trio, working through UCLA’s externship program, served various roles in constructing the treaty. Erin worked to lay the groundwork in an umbrella cleanup agreement between the countries in spring 2001, Emily ironed out the deal’s details over the summer and fall, and Jonathan was flown to Uzbekistan to close the negotiations. Their story made headlines in The New York Times, the Los Angeles Daily Journal, the Los Angeles Times, and UCLA Today.

While their accomplishments are extraordinary, the responsibility entrusted to UCLA students is not unusual. Since the 1980’s, UCLA Law externs have reviewed legal agreements by the United States or its embassies through the Department of Defense Office of the General Counsel.

“The string of exceptional students we sent them in the past assured the department that these students could do the job,” explained UCLA Law Professor Richard Steinberg, who selected the trio.

“It’s a good example of the kind of contribution that law students at UCLA can make,” said Associate Deputy General Counsel for international affairs Jack Beard, who oversaw the externs from Washington. “Their contribution is all the more important and appreciated (in the wake of September 11).”

Jonathan agreed, declaring, “This is my way of serving my country.”

The group was chosen based on their strong interest and involvement in international politics. Emily, for example, worked at the Center for Non-Proliferation Studies in Monterey for six years before going to law school.

“They are a very talented group of law students,” said Pentagon Counsel Beard, “and they did an outstanding job.”
Jennifer Rothman ’02 Publishes Two Articles

Graduating law student Jennifer Rothman published not one, but two articles this year for other law school journals. Her first article on “Freedom of Speech and True Threats,” published in the Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy, was cited by Ninth Circuit Judge Alex Kozinski ’75 in his dissent in Planned Parenthood v. American Coalition of Life Activists. Her second article, due to be published by U.C. Davis Law Review, “Copyright Preemption and the Right of Publicity,” is dedicated to the memory of Professor Gary Schwartz and is abstracted on this page.

Abstract of “Copyright Preemption and the Right of Publicity”
by Jennifer Rothman ’02

This article addresses the conflict between an ever-expanding right of publicity and the federally guaranteed rights provided by copyright law. This conflict is highlighted in the Wendt v. Host International case in which the actors George Wendt and John Ratzenberger from Cheers used the right of publicity to prevent the show’s creators from licensing the use of the Norm and Cliff characters in the decor of a chain of airport bars. Even though the licensing of the characters was explicitly allowed under copyright law, the Ninth Circuit held that the right of publicity prevented the creators from doing so. Similarly, performers have successfully used the right of publicity to prevent the making of sound-alike recordings even though the Copyright Act explicitly permits such recordings.

The vast majority of courts have not preempted the right of publicity even when it conflicts with clearly established copyright law. This is true, in part, because most courts have relied almost exclusively on the preemption clause of the Copyright Act, which is ambiguous and difficult to apply. Neither courts nor scholars have looked much beyond the Copyright Act’s explicit preemption clause when considering preemption of publicity rights. This article proposes a new approach to analyzing copyright preemption — one that incorporates the broader principles of the Supremacy Clause to determine when copyright law should preempt the right of publicity. The article then presents a practical test for determining when the right of publicity stands as an obstacle to copyright law and should therefore be preempted.

Jennifer Rothman ’02 discusses Judge Kozinski’s citing of her article with her mentor, Professor Eugene Volokh ’92

INTRAMURAL AT UCLA LAW

Volleyball
*2000-2001 Champions
*2001-2002 Champions
Scott Dominguez ’04
John Hribar ’03
Patrick Klein ’03
Kregg Koch ’03
Ryan Lund ’03
David Plancarte ’03
Dru Zachmeyer ’03

Basketball (Co-ed)
*2001-2002 Champions
Erika Araujo ’03
Scott Dominguez ’04
Elizabeth Hillman ’03
Patrick Klein ’03
Mary Klima ’03
Matthew Manewall ’03
Susan Mathis ’03
Triple-header at UCLA Law: Three siblings enrolled at once — Scott, Alexandria and Bryan Dominguez; UCLA Law ’03, ’04 and ’05

No one at the UCLA School of Law can remember anything like it: two brothers and a sister, all enrolled at the same time. Scott Dominguez is in his third year, Alexandria Dominguez in her second year and Bryan Dominguez just beginning his first year. Each attended a different college, and Alexandria took a year to complete her master’s degree — so their triple enrollment is something of a miracle of timing.

But for the Dominguez family, the real miracle is their mother, Pepita Dominguez. Nineteen years ago, her husband Jose died just days after suffering a sudden brain hemorrhage. He left their five children to her care, making two specific requests: that they continue their piano lessons, and that she focus on their education.

“I suspect that even my father did not realize that he had entrusted his children to the strongest, most dedicated and loving mother on earth,” Alexandria says.

Pepita Dominguez worked as many as three jobs at one time to support her children. But their memories of childhood are full of vacations in Big Bear, carefree days playing football and evenings gathered around the kitchen table to do homework together. Their mother was a constant presence.

“We are also back at home living at our childhood house on Olive Street with my mother,” Alexandria says. “Once again, the house is vibrant and busy.”

And Pepita Dominguez has assumed yet another role. As Alexandria explains, her tiny (5’2”) mother, who has always ruled her home with a firm hand, is now busy “arbitrating legal discussions during dinner.”

Story adapted from an essay by Alexandria Dominguez ’04.

(Left to right) Bryan Dominguez ’05, Alexandria Dominguez ’04 and Scott Dominguez ’03.
While they certainly intended to do their best, there were few expectations among the members of UCLA’s team of third-year students representing the School of Law in the 2001-2002 National Moot Court Competition sponsored by the American College of Trial Lawyers and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. The Bruins, after all, had not advanced beyond the regional rounds since 1997.

So it was with a good deal of elation that the team of Bonita Moore, Celeste Drake and Adam Cook made it through the quarterfinals and then, even after losing to Hastings in the semifinals, found itself among twenty-eight schools from across the nation heading to New York in January to compete in the final rounds. (Hastings teams had won first and second in the semis, but one was disqualified under a rule that prohibits multiple teams from the same school from representing a region in the final rounds.)

UCLA lost the first round against South Texas — a school known in moot court circles as the team to beat — but won in the next preliminary and advanced to the Sweet Sixteen, where it polished off Chicago-Kent, Rutgers and Cleveland-Marshall to land in the finals, facing off against Hastings (again) in an All-California contest to determine who was best in the nation.

The two teams argued complex employment and arbitration issues before a panel of seven judges that included the Chief Judge of the U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals, John M. Walker Jr., and the Chief Justice of the Wisconsin Supreme Court, Shirley S. Abrahamson. When it was over, Hastings prevailed, but UCLA had advanced farther than ever before: Drake was honored as Best Individual Oral Advocate and the team won Second Place overall and the Second Place brief award.
Andrew Elmore ’02 Wins the Aaron Award

By Michael Asimow

The Aaron Award honors Professor Benjamin Aaron, a longtime member of the UCLA faculty and an internationally recognized expert on labor law. The award carries a stipend of $500 and is presented each year to the member of the graduating class who has published the best article in any of UCLA’s Law Reviews. The faculty review committee consists of Alison Anderson, Richard Sander, and Michael Asimow.


Andrew’s article concerns a serious social and economic problem: employers in the garment industry frequently fail to pay minimum wages to their employees (who are often undocumented aliens) and frequently disappear without paying wages that have been earned. These employers usually have contract relationships with larger garment manufacturers and sometimes with retail stores. The manufacturers and retailers disclaim liability for violations of the minimum wage laws or for unpaid wages, however. The article documents the failure by the federal government to enforce labor laws in this situation and explores new remedies. These include statutes enacted in New York and California that would impose liability on corporations up the supply chain from the defaulting employers. The existence of such statutes should cause the manufacturers and retailers to impose pressure on the small contract employers to adhere to labor laws. The article analyzes these statutes and suggests improvements to make them more fair and effective in solving the problem of labor exploitation in the garment industry.

UCLA Law Students Win Two ABA Law Student Division Awards

At the American Bar Association’s 2002 National Convention UCLA Law students won two Law Student Division Awards. Our Student Bar Association was named the Western Regional Student Bar Association of the Year and UCLA Law was recognized as the Judy M. Weightman Memorial Public Interest School of the Year. Speaking of the first award, Assistant Dean of Students, Elizabeth Cheadle said: “It’s a great tribute and well deserved honor. UCLA Law’s SBA has worked incredibly hard, and quite successfully, to build a strong sense of community among the students by providing a broad range of services and activities and a voice for students with widely diverging interests and viewpoints.”

Discussing the public interest award, Catherine Mayorkas, Director of the Program in Public Interest Law and Policy said: “The award is a tribute to the dedication and commitment of our students. Many students, through participation in a variety of student organizations, programs and activities, have worked tirelessly to ensure that the School lives up to its public mission. We are immensely proud of their efforts.”
Student Animal Legal Defense Fund
By Christina Johnson ’03

The Student Animal Legal Defense Fund is a newly established chapter of the national organization, Animal Legal Defense Fund, and is comprised of UCLA law students who wish to protect the lives and advance the interests of animals through education and the legal system. Under the guidance of Professor Taimie Bryant, students operate two main initiatives: the Bohnett Animal Law Lecture Series, and the Legislative Analysis Project. Issues involved are as varied as companion-animal overpopulation, wildlife management, treatment of performing (circus) animals, ethics of animal testing, and many others.

The David Bohnett Foundation sponsors the Bohnett Lecture Series, a monthly platform featuring attorneys from diverse areas of the community, including lawyers working directly on animal-related cases, such as companion-animal custody disputes; attorneys discussing how to protest and advocate legally on behalf of animals; and the authors of the first casebook devoted to animal law. Speakers have included Harvard Professor Steven Wise; Robert Ferber, who directs the Los Angeles Animal Protection Unit; author and animal rights leader Gary Francione; and Tufts University School of Veterinary Medicine Professor Paul Waldau.

SALDF’s Legislative Analysis Project supports students who analyze animal related legislation pending in the California State Assembly and State Senate. These analyses are then posted on the web for access by the animal-rights and lobbying communities. Please send e-mail to saldf@ucla.edu. Or visit www.studentgroups.ucla.edu/saldf.

Olympic Torch
By Amy Gerrish ’03

My entire life my mother has lit my life with her amazing spirit, and on January 17, I had the opportunity to repay the favor. At daybreak that morning, I was privileged to carry the Olympic Torch in Paso Robles, California, and for just a few minutes, I was the only person in the world with that honor. I hadn’t expected to carry the torch. When the 2002 Winter Olympics Torch Relay Committee asked for Americans to participate, I nominated my mother. Diagnosed with breast cancer in 1998, she subsequently endured six months of intense chemotherapy and radiation, all while teaching high school English full time. Now cancer-free, she works tirelessly in our community, sending care packages and providing comfort to cancer patients. The Olympic Committee selected both my mother and me as an inspirational duo! I was overjoyed to run to my mother and dip my torch to light hers as she began her triumphant run.

This entire experience was life changing. The Olympic Torchbearers exemplify what the Olympics are all about – the perseverance of the human spirit. It was especially memorable as four of my fellow classmates here at the School of Law drove up all the way from Los Angeles to watch the event!
Alumni
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Class of 1952’s 50th Reunion

By Kristine Werlinich

The UCLA School of Law’s first graduating class, the Class of 1952, celebrated its 50th Class Reunion on June 29, 2002. Nearly all of the living twenty-six class members and a few members of the classes of 1953 and 1954 – those who took classes with the Class of 1952 – attended a gala dinner in the fourth floor tower of the Hugh and Hazel Darling Law Library. Pioneering once again, the first graduating class started a new tradition at the School of Law. As their class gift, each member of the Class of 1952 was asked to make a planned gift to the law school. This tradition to have alumni celebrating their 50th reunion include the law school in their estate plan was started at the request of Dean Jonathan Varat to help build the school’s endowment.

In an evening filled with warm reminiscences and close camaraderie, Dean Varat announced the law school’s first 50th reunion class gift. Dean Varat presented the Class of 1952 with a large bronze medallion honoring their gift. Class members were pleased to have established yet another tradition that will benefit the law school in perpetuity. The medallion has been mounted in the main corridor of the law school building.

Before the reunion Dean Varat had the alumni and development staff initiate an oral history project that interviewed the members of the Class of 1952 about recollections of their law school experience, significant moments of their professional careers, and friendships they formed at UCLA Law. The interviews were put together on a videotape, which class members purchased to commemorate their reunion.

The Law School wishes to thank the Class of 1952 Reunion Committee for its hard work in planning the school’s first 50th reunion. The Committee included: Jean Bauer Fisler, Maurice Bralley, Saul Grayson, Arthur N. Greenberg, Hon. William Keene, Sidney R. Kuperberg, John C. McCarthy and Joseph N. Tilem.

Class Photo, page 51: (row 1, left to right) Jean Bauer Fisler, Geraldine Hemmerling, Perry Langford, Richard Collins, Howard Rhodes, Frederick Mueller; (row 2, left to right) Curtis Danning, Maurice Bralley, Arthur Alef, Edward Smith, William Keene, John McCarthy; (row 3, left to right) Arthur Greenberg, David Comsky, Sidney Kuperberg, Joseph Tilem; (row 4, left to right) Saul Grayson, Robert Carlson.

Jean Bauer Fisler ’52, Audrey Greenberg, Arthur Greenberg ’52, Professor Edgar Jones, Harriet Alef and Arthur Alef ’52.
CLASS OF 1952’S 50TH REUNION

Dean Jonathan Varat and Geraldine Hemmerling ’52.

Frederick Mueller ’52 and Jean Bauer Fisler ’52.

Harriet Hochman and Audrey Greenberg.
JAMS, the nation’s largest private Alternative Dispute Resolution provider has added former California Court of Appeal Associate Justice William Masterson ‘58 to its ranks. He will focus on multi-party, complex business commercial cases. His 13-year career on the bench included his position on the California Court of Appeal and on the Los Angeles County Superior Court. He also has worked as a partner at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP; Rogers & Wells, and Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton.

Curt Livesay ‘65, recently was named chief deputy to Los Angeles County District Attorney Steve Cooley. Veteran legal analyst and legal news journalist Roger Cossack ‘66 will join Court TV network’s daytime signature live trial coverage as an anchor, and also will host Monday’s Open Court. Roger joins Court TV from CNN, where he co-hosted the daily legal news program Burden of Proof. Before CNN, he was a prosecutor with the Los Angeles County District Attorney’s office. He argued U.S. v. Leon to the U.S. Supreme Court in 1984.

David W. Condeff ‘67, of counsel to Nixon Peabody’s San Francisco office, has been admitted as a Fellow of The American College of Trial Lawyers.

Court of Appeal Justice Steven Perren ‘67 was the keynote speaker at Cal Lutheran University’s graduation ceremonies on May 18; he received an honorary law degree from the school. Judge Perren was appointed to the 2nd District Court of Appeal in 1999 by Governor Gray Davis, having served as a Ventura County Superior Court judge for seventeen years.

Bob Weeks ‘64, J.D. ‘67, and his wife, Nancy (Rockoff) Weeks ‘64, M.A.’66, both participated as torchbearers during the 2002 Olympic Torch Relay preceding the Winter Olympics in Salt Lake City. The organizers were seeking people who inspired others in keeping with the theme of “light the fire within.” Nancy nominated Bob for his contributions to the legal profession and their San Jose, CA, community. After Bob was selected, Nancy got a letter inviting her to participate as well.

The Los Angeles Daily Journal California Law Business section profiled Michael P. Judge ‘68, the Public Defender of Los Angeles County, as one of California’s 100 Most Influential Lawyers.

Governor Gray Davis appointed Richard H. Kirschner ‘68 to the Los Angeles County Superior Court. Judge Kirschner spent the first five years of his career as an assistant U.S. attorney in Los Angeles, where he prosecuted federal offenses ranging from immigration crimes to complex white-collar crimes. A past president of the Federal Bar Association’s Los Angeles chapter, he has been in private practice since 1975, specializing in white-collar criminal defense. For the last twenty years, he has served as the pro bono chair of the Federal Indigent Defense Panel for the Central District of California. He also has been a judge pro tempore in the Los Angeles Municipal Court and a State Bar prosecutor. In May 2000, Judge Kirschner was appointed deputy general counsel to the Board of Police Commissioners’ Rampart Independent Review Panel.

Jerry Katzman ’63, a veteran of the William Morris Agency, has joined the UCLA School of Theater, Film and Television as a member of the faculty, teaching in the Producers Program and as the School’s liaison with the entertainment industry. Recently, Jerry announced he was stepping down from his post as vice chairman of the William Morris Agency, Inc., ending an almost 30-year tour of duty at the talent and literary powerhouse.

Jerry Katzman ’63, a veteran of the William Morris Agency, has joined the UCLA School of Theater, Film and Television as a member of the faculty, teaching in the Producers Program and as the School’s liaison with the entertainment industry. Recently, Jerry announced he was stepping down from his post as vice chairman of the William Morris Agency, Inc., ending an almost 30-year tour of duty at the talent and literary powerhouse.

Averett B. (Raff) Hutner ‘62 moved with her husband to Lake Monticello, Virginia. She was admitted to practice in Virginia, and she entered political activities, winning a seat on the Board of Supervisors for Fluvanna County — the first woman to hold a Fluvanna County Supervisor’s seat since the inception of the Fluvanna County Board of Supervisors, 100 years ago.

After retiring from the practice of law in California in 1997, Norma B. (Raff) Hutner ‘62 moved with her husband to Lake Monticello, Virginia. She was admitted to practice in Virginia, and she entered political activities, winning a seat on the Board of Supervisors for Fluvanna County — the first woman to hold a Fluvanna County Supervisor’s seat since the inception of the Fluvanna County Board of Supervisors, 100 years ago.

Jerry Katzman ’63, a veteran of the William Morris Agency, has joined the UCLA School of Theater, Film and Television as a member of the faculty, teaching in the Producers Program and as the School’s liaison with the entertainment industry. Recently, Jerry announced he was stepping down from his post as vice chairman of the William Morris Agency, Inc., ending an almost 30-year tour of duty at the talent and literary powerhouse.

Jerry Katzman ’63, a veteran of the William Morris Agency, has joined the UCLA School of Theater, Film and Television as a member of the faculty, teaching in the Producers Program and as the School’s liaison with the entertainment industry. Recently, Jerry announced he was stepping down from his post as vice chairman of the William Morris Agency, Inc., ending an almost 30-year tour of duty at the talent and literary powerhouse.

Jerry Katzman ’63, a veteran of the William Morris Agency, has joined the UCLA School of Theater, Film and Television as a member of the faculty, teaching in the Producers Program and as the School’s liaison with the entertainment industry. Recently, Jerry announced he was stepping down from his post as vice chairman of the William Morris Agency, Inc., ending an almost 30-year tour of duty at the talent and literary powerhouse.
Randall B. Hamud '70’s “Diary of a Terrorist's Lawyer” was the cover story of the April 2002 California Lawyer Magazine. A sole practitioner in San Diego, he is a long-time activist in the Arab-American community, has served two years as chair of the city’s American Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee and currently chairs the police department’s advisory board, dealing with issues of hate crimes and racial profiling. His narrative details that despite his exemplary citizenship and civic involvement, in the aftermath of September 11, he, his 83-year-old mother, and his partner became the target of hate messages and death threats.

Paul L. Basile, Jr. '71 is pleased to announce the formation of Basile & Associates. The firm, with offices in Brentwood and Pasadena, offers tax and business planning advice, estate planning, organization and formation of business entities, contracts, and services for nonprofit organizations.

Orange County Superior Court Judge Richard D. Fybel '71 has been named by Governor Gray Davis as an associate justice of the California Court of Appeal after eighteen years on the bench and nine years in private practice. Judge Rubin was a municipal court judge and then a Superior Court judge in Santa Monica as a result of trial court unification.

Joe W. Hilberman ’73 has become a judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court. Judge Hilberman was a veteran civil litigator with the firm of Fonda, Hilberman & Fraser, which he founded in 1996. His practice emphasized the defense of professional and personal liability claims and employment disputes on behalf of insurance carriers, self-insured businesses, universities, and healthcare providers. Judge Hilberman also developed a mediation and arbitration practice as a neutral arbitrator and mediator. He has served on the Board of Trustees of the Santa Monica Bar Association and chaired its Civil Litigation Committee. He is a member of the American Board of Trial Advocates and the National Association of College and University Attorneys.

Nathalie Hoffman ’73 has returned to UCLA to pursue a Masters of Business Administration at the Anderson School of Business. She is pursuing the Executive MBA program with a concentration in International Business Transactions, emphasis on Brazil. She is the only lawyer in the group of seventy-two students in her program and one of only twelve women.

Daniel H. Willick ’73 has joined the Los Angeles office of Nossaman Guthner Knox & Elliott as a partner in the firm’s health care practice. Daniel formerly served as general counsel to the California Psychiatric Association, the Southern California and Orange County Psychiatric Societies and the Southern California Psychoanalytic Institute. He also provided legal counsel to a number of other non-profit medical professional societies and associations.

Terence Nunan ’74 and a partner specializing in estate planning at the Century City firm of Rutter, Hobbs & Davidoff, organized and moderated a program entitled “Meet the New Boss - The Revocable Trust Accounting Rules.” The program was presented in September at the State Bar Convention in Anaheim and was repeated in October at the Fall Program of the Estate Planning, Trust and Probate Law Section of the State Bar of California in San Francisco.

President Bush nominated and the Senate has confirmed Percy Anderson ’75 for the position of U.S. District Court judge. Judge Anderson formerly was a partner at Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal.
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The Orange County/Long Beach regional chapter of the Anti-Defamation League awarded Andrew Guilford ’75 its 2002 Jurisprudence Award. A partner in Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton’s Business Trial Practice group in Orange County, he served as President of the State Bar of California during the 1999-2000 term. This award is the latest in a string of honors. He was selected by the 2001 Orange County Trial Lawyers Association as Orange County Business Trial Lawyer of the Year, and in September 2001, he was selected by the Los Angeles Daily Journal as one of California’s 100 Most Influential Lawyers for the second time in his career.

Governor Gray Davis announced nine appointees to the Los Angeles County Superior Court. Among the appointees were three UCLA Law alumni: Martin L. Herscovitz ’75, Marjorie S. Steinberg ’75 and Richard H. Kirschner ’68.

Deputy District Attorney Martin L. Herscovitz ’75 has been a prosecutor in the Los Angeles County District Attorney’s Office since 1979 and currently works out of the San Fernando courthouse. He formerly headed the Antitrust Section and the Glendale and Malibu area offices. One of his most recent high-profile cases was the prosecution of actor Robert Downey Jr. for drug-related offenses.

For twenty-five years beginning in 1975, Marjorie S. Steinberg ’75 was an associate and partner with the now-shuttered local firm of Tuttle & Taylor, with a practice emphasizing real estate, secured transactions and bankruptcy law. She took a leave in 1993 to work for two years as a legislative assistant for Senator Jeff Bingaman in Washington, D.C. Judge Steinberg, who was editor-in-chief of the UCLA Law Review, has served as president of the Women Lawyers’ Association of Los Angeles and is current president of the Constitutional Rights Foundation.

Tom Epstein ’76 has returned to the West Coast from Washington, DC to assume the post of vice president of public affairs for Blue Shield of California. Based in San Francisco, Tom is responsible for communications, government relations and philanthropy for the nonprofit health plan, the state’s third largest.

Gary (Gershon) Lewis ’76 said he got onto law review by writing an article about Jewish law and pursued it approximately ten years later by receiving Rabbinical Ordination by Aish HaTorah College of Jewish Studies in Jerusalem. He has continued with the college working on various public relations and fundraising projects including “one-to-one learning” on the Internet site, aish.com, the largest Jewish content site. You may reach Gary at GLewis@aish.com.

Noted real estate attorneys Chris Harding ’77 and Ken Kutcher ’83 of the law firm Harding, Larmore, Kutcher & Ko zal, were honored Thursday, October 18, 2001 by the non-profit Upward Bound House for their countless hours of pro-bono legal assistance. “Chris and Ken have distinguished themselves as dedicated and vital supporters of Upward Bound House by providing the bulk of the legal assistance needed to build our Senior Villa and Family Place facilities in Santa Monica,” said Andrew Duff Parker, executive director of the community group Upward Bound House. Chris and Ken worked to secure the necessary zoning changes, land use approvals and subdivision maps for the two projects that provide affordable housing for ninety seniors and free transitional housing for forty-six homeless families with minor children. Upward Bound House honored both attorneys at the group’s fifth annual dinner and auction held at Santa Monica’s Fairmont Miramar Hotel.

Lorna C. Greenhill ’78 was awarded the Women Lawyers of Long Beach “Lawyer of the Year” award of 2000-2001.

Alex Johnson ’78, formerly Vice Provost and law professor at the University of Virginia, recently began his two-year term as chair of the Law School Admission Council’s Board of Trustees. He is assuming a new role as Dean of the University of Minnesota Law School.

Don G. Rushing ’78 is board certified as a trial advocate by the National Board of Trial Advocacy.

The Arthritis Foundation, Southern California Chapter, has named Lisa Greer Quateman ’78 chair of its board. Lisa is founder of Quateman & Zidell, a business law firm in Century City.

Governor Gray Davis recently appointed Special Assistant Attorney General, David Francisco De Alba ’79 to become a Sacramento County Superior Court judge. Judge De Alba went to work for the state attorney general’s office directly after graduation.

Governor Davis recently named Elisabeth Sichel ’79 a Riverside County Superior Court judge. Judge Sichel had been a family law court commissioner since 1997.

1980s

Insolvency expert Jeffrey Krause ’80 is returning to Los Angeles bankruptcy boutique Stutman, Treister & Glatt after a two-year stint at Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld.
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Lori Weintraub '80 was promoted to Executive Vice President at Vivendi Universal Net USA. Lori’s focus at VU Net USA will be on content aggregation and packaging for the Internet and Internet-connected devices, working closely with record labels, movie studios, and the rest of the creative community. She also will continue to serve as President of InsideSessions, a digital learning business that is a joint venture between the Universal Music Group and Penguin Putnam Inc. Lori began her career as an entertainment attorney. A motion picture production executive for eight years, she served as Head of Production for the Guber-Peters Entertainment Company and Senior Vice President of Production for MGM/UA. In 1990 she co-founded Warner Vision Entertainment — a division of the Warner Music Group — and as Head of Programming she helped to build it into one of the world’s most successful non-theatrical video companies. She also created and served as President and C.E.O. of Time Warner AudioBooks, a joint venture between Atlantic Records and Warner Books. Working in the Internet world since 1999, she was part of the senior management team at both MP3.com and Farmclub.com.

Regina I. Covitt ‘81 has joined the firm of Lerner & Miller as a partner, opening its Los Angeles office. Regina had practiced with the firm of O’Melveny & Myers.

John Crittenden ’81, a partner at Cooley Godward’s San Francisco headquarters, has been appointed vice chairman of the International Trademark Association issues and policy committee. The committee identifies and analyzes major trends and issues related to trademarks, recommends association positions and reviews proposed positions of other association committees. He has served as chairman for the association’s bulletin committee and editor-in-chief of the association’s twice-monthly publication on trademark news and developments in the law worldwide. John works with the San Francisco office’s practice group in trademark, advertising and copyright.

Marjorie L. Fox ’81 was listed in Worth Magazine as part of its 2001 list of America’s elite financial advisors.

Chuck Tremper ’81 joins Synchron Networks as Vice President and General Counsel. Chuck began working in the computer industry in 1976. Since then he has held a variety of senior administrative positions in several fields. Chuck is a former law professor who has published guidebooks and articles on privacy of computer data, corporate governance, personnel practices and risk management. Before joining Synchron Networks he was Senior V.P. and C.I.O. for a trade association in Washington, D.C.

Ameristar Casinos, Inc. announced the hiring of Peter C. Walsh ’81 as Senior Vice President and General Counsel. He previously served as Vice President and Assistant General Counsel for MGM MIRAGE and as Assistant General Counsel for Mirage Resorts, Incorporated. Peter’s legal practice focuses primarily on securities, corporate, commercial and real estate law.

Dale A. Head ’83 has been named Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Clear Channel Entertainment Worldwide, formerly SFX Entertainment. Previously, Dale was Senior Assistant General Counsel for MAXXAM Inc., Kaiser Aluminum and The Pacific Lumber Company.

Noted real estate attorneys Ken Kutcher ’83 and Chris Harding ’77 of the law firm Harding, Larmore, Kutcher & Kozal were honored Thursday, October 18, 2001 by the non-profit Upward Bound House for their countless hours of pro-bono legal assistance. (Please see the 1970’s class notes for the full story.)

James Rogan ’83 was sworn in as Undersecretary of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. After law school, James was an associate with Los Angeles’ Lillick, McHose & Charles, which merged with what is now Pillsbury Winthrop. He then spent almost six years as a criminal prosecutor in the Los Angeles County district attorney’s office handling gang murder cases, and was appointed to a Southern California municipal court judgship in 1990. He was elected to the California State Assembly in 1994, and to Congress in 1996.

Louis F. Gutierrez ’84 has been appointed to the post of Senior Vice President, Human Resources for Paramount Pictures. In his new capacity, Louis will oversee all of the operations of the company’s Human Resources Department, including recruitment, compensation, employee relations, development and training, benefits, workplace diversity and workers’ compensation.

O’Melveny & Myers partner Mitch Menzer ’84 was appointed as the President of the Los Angeles City Planning Commission. Mitch was appointed to the City Planning Commission in 2000 by then-Mayor Richard Riordan and was asked to remain on the Commission by Mayor James K. Hahn this year.
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John M. Moscarino ’85 has recently been named managing partner of O’Neill, Lysaght & Sun in Santa Monica, California.

ChromaVision Medical Systems, Inc. has appointed senior healthcare executive and advisor Eric S. Kentor ’86 to serve as a Director on the company’s Board of Directors. Eric is currently an independent consultant providing advisory services primarily to health care and biotechnology companies.

Alexandra Mahaney ’86 has been named the leader of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison’s San Diego Intellectual Property Group. Brobeck’s San Diego IP Group has thirty-six attorneys dedicated exclusively to intellectual property issues, making it the largest such group in San Diego. Alexandra has an extensive background in IP litigation including patent, trademark, trade secrets, and unfair competition cases. She recently co-authored the “Discovery and Privilege” chapter of Patent Litigation (Practicing Law Institute, pub.).

After coming to the United States ten years ago with her husband, Raffi, Armine Hovannisian ’88, a corporate lawyer and mother of five, decided to give back to her native Armenia. Her contribution of $1,000 a month staffs a townhouse in Yerevan’s Kond slum with a doctor, a teacher, a cook, and a social worker. The purpose of this program is to assist sixteen of the area’s poorest children with medical care, a meal, childcare, and supervision in their studies.

Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Elliott has named Andrew Yamamoto ’88 as equity partner. Andrew, who practices in the firm’s Los Angeles office, represents corporate and municipal clients in complex water rights litigation.

Neil Case ’90 was promoted to partner at the firm Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe. Neil is a member of Orrick’s Structured Finance Department in the New York Office. In addition to structured finance work, he has experience in federal securities, municipal finance, and general corporate law.

Jens B. Koepke ’90 has joined the firm of Greines, Martin, Stein & Richland.

Judy London ’90 started helping immigrants seek asylum and other forms of legal relief as a first-year law-student intern at Los Angeles’ Public Counsel. Fifteen years later, she has returned to head the nonprofit’s Immigrant Rights Project. After law school, she spent four years as a civil litigator and sentencing consultant before joining the Central American Resource Center in 1994. Two years later, she became the firm’s legal director. To bring about change on a larger scale, Judy has worked with members of Congress on a national policy level, with special focus on the legal status of Central Americans. She also worked with the Justice Department to develop regulations for implementing the Nicaraguan Adjustment and Central American Relief Act, aimed at helping refugees of civil war.

Michael Perez ’90 and Patricia Chavarria Perez ’92 have returned to San Diego after four exciting years away from the Golden State. First, while in Mexico City, Mike worked as General Counsel to the U.S. Embassy and Patti headed up U.S. efforts to support Mexico’s judicial reform efforts through an A.I.D. sponsored grant. Thereafter, with son Tony in tow (now 2 1/2 years old), the double alumni family moved to Washington D.C. at the request of the Clinton Administration so that Mike could serve as Counsel to Attorney General Janet Reno and Deputy Attorney General Eric Holder. While in D.C., Patti worked at Skadden Arps as Director of Human Resources. Now back in Southern California, Patti has started Puente International Consulting, Inc, a full-service employment law and HR consulting firm. (PuenteInternational.com.) Meanwhile, Mike has joined the San Diego office of Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps as a partner. With more than forty jury trials, his practice focuses on general business litigation and employment matters. He also provides advice and counsel to U.S.-based businesses operating in Latin America.

Rebecca Tsosie ’90, professor of law at Arizona State University, received a 2002 Spirit of Excellence Award from the American Bar Association Commission on Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the Profession. The Spirit of Excellence Awards are presented annually to honor minority lawyers who demonstrate outstanding achievement, despite facing societal barriers to success, and for lawyers who have helped to create opportunities for minority advancement in the profession.

Governor Gray Davis appointed family law practitioner Katrina West ’90 to fill a new post on the San Bernardino County Superior Court. Judge West is a former vice president of the San Bernardino County Barristers Association and former secretary for the African American Attorneys of the Inland Empire Association. She was a partner with Covington & Crowe. She has served as a judge pro tem and continues on page 59.
mediator in family law cases in the San Bernardino Superior Court.

The Garden City Group, Inc., announced the promotion of Neil L. Zola ’90 to the newly created position of executive vice president and chief operating officer. Neil previously held the title of senior vice president and general counsel. An accomplished writer, Neil’s byline has appeared in The New York Law Journal and The Metropolitan Corporate Counsel. A sought-after presenter on class action issues, he also is a recipient of the Distinguished Legal Service Award sponsored by Corporate Legal Times and Lexis-Nexis.

Richard Hasen ’91 was profiled in the California Law Business section of the Los Angeles Daily Journal as one of “20 under 40” outstanding lawyers in California. He recently co-wrote Election Law: Cases and Materials with Professor Daniel H. Lowenstein, helped launch Election Law Journal, and authored an amicus brief for a current U.S. Supreme Court case.

Vincent J. Badolato ’93 has been elected to partnership in the Washington office of the firm Dechert. Vincent is a member of the firm’s financial services and securities litigation group.

Townsend and Townsend elevated Jordan Trent Jones ’93 to partner. Jordan is in the Palo Alto office.

Leonard Segal ’93 recently formed his own firm, Law Office of Leonard B. Segal, P.A. Leonard practices in the area of labor and employment law.

Don M. Wade ’93 is pleased to announce the formation of his law firm, Wade Osse Waldon, in New York City.

Morrison & Foerster has elevated corporate attorney Stanley Yukevich ’93 to its partnership ranks. Stanley works in the Tokyo office.

McCutfchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen announced that it has promoted P. Scott Burton ’94 to partner. Scott is an environmental and regulatory compliance practitioner who focuses on Clear Air Act matters.

Ken Button ’94 has joined Insurance Technology Solutions, managing its legal and business affairs. Ken joined ITS from O’Melveny & Myers, where he specialized in the general representation of emerging Internet and technology companies. Previously, he represented a wide variety of corporate clients on legislative and regulatory matters while serving as a member of the Federal Government Relations Practice Group in the Washington, D.C. office of Winston & Strawn.

Townsend and Townsend elevated William Gallagher ’94 to partner. William works in the San Francisco office.

Hector Gallegos ’94 has been promoted to partner at Morrison & Foerster in the Los Angeles office as a patent litigator.

Tiffany Hedgpeth ’94 was elevated to counsel status at McCutfchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen.

Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Eliott has named Marisa Moret ’94 as equity partner. Marisa, who practices in the firm’s San Francisco office, is a litigator and a member of the firm’s land use, environmental and real estate practice. She has served as commissioner of the San Francisco Housing Authority and as a board member of the San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association.

Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison promoted Vicki Norton ’94 to partner. Vicki, an IP lawyer, is in the firm’s San Diego office.

Brette Simon ’94 recently joined the Los Angeles office of Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton as Special Counsel in its Corporate Practice Group, where she will continue to develop her M&A, securities and venture capital/emerging growth practice. Previously, Brette practiced corporate law at O’Melveny & Myers and Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher.

Morrison & Foerster has elevated Thomas Treffert ’94 to partner in the San Francisco office, where he is a litigator.

Crosby, Heafey, Roach & May elevated Thomas Yoo ’94 to partner. Thomas is a product liability attorney who has worked on unfair competition law cases and commercial contract disputes.

Meredith Blake ’95 was the keynote speaker and was honored in September by the Los Angeles Business Journal with the “Women Who Make a Difference” Award. In January she was awarded the Pathfinder Award by Women Lawyers Association of Los Angeles. Meredith is founder and executive director of Break the Cycle, a Los Angeles based non-profit organization that works proactively with youth to end abuse and violence in domestic and dating relationships. She is profiled in this issue of UCLA Law Magazine.

Angela J. Reddock ’95, recently was appointed to the Los Angeles City Transportation Commission by Mayor James Hahn. Angela’s appointment was confirmed by the Los Angeles City Council in January 2002. Angela is a senior associate with the law firm Pryce Parker Hill, in downtown Los Angeles where she practices in the area of employment and labor law and government relations.

Martin Barash ’92 and Lisa Bossetti Barash ’96 welcomed into their family their first child, Michael Aaron Barash on April 4, 2002.

Continues on page 60
**Caitlin R. Burgess ’96** has opened a new Silicon Valley start-up law firm, Burgess & Bereznak, which specializes exclusively in intellectual property law, including patent prosecution, litigation and licensing, patent infringement analysis, trademark prosecution, and copyright issues. Before practicing patent law, she conducted a successful business development campaign for a company that produces high-end chip design tools in the Silicon Valley, opening new offices in northern Virginia and Oxford, England. She also worked for a few years at the law firm of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman in Sunnyvale, California.

**Caroline Morris ’97** LLM, recently joined the law faculty of Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand, as a lecturer (assistant professor) in public law and feminist legal theory.

**Seton Hunt ’99** has joined Miller, Starr & Regalia in Walnut Creek as an associate in the firm’s construction practice group. Seton formerly was in the Menlo Park office of Los Angeles-based Latham & Watkins; he practiced in general litigation.

**Scott Abrahamson ’98** and **Laura Reider ’99** welcomed into their family their two daughters Sophia Reider Abrahamson and Talia Reider Abrahamson in December 2001.

**Carlos Rohrmann LL.M ’99** completed his doctorate from Berkeley, Boalt Hall and has returned to Brazil.

**Elizabeth Bawden ’00** recently published *Here Today, Gone Tomorrow — Three Common Mistakes Courts Make When Police Lose or Destroy Evidence with Apparent Exculpatory Value*, (48 Clev. St. L. Rev. 335 (2000)).

**G. William Carmany ’00** and **Lori Beth Schoenberg** were recently married in a ceremony officiated by the Hon. Raul C. Rosado a San Diego Superior Court judge, in Coronado, CA. William currently works in private practice in San Diego, California. Lori, a graduate of Amherst College and Georgetown University Law Center, works as a federal public defender in San Diego.

**Sam Fortenbaugh ’01** has joined the firm of Pircher, Nichols & Meeks in the Los Angeles office as an associate in the real estate department.

**Jennifer E. Gould ’01** has joined the firm of Folger Levin & Kahn as an associate in its Los Angeles office.

**Michael P. Judge ’68**, Public Defender of Los Angeles County announced the appointment of **Johnny Lai ’01** to the position of Deputy Public Defender 1, with the Law Offices of the Public Defender.


The Los Angeles office of Seattle’s Preston Gates & Ellis added first year associate **Peter D. Pham ’01**. Peter will practice several types of litigation, including intellectual property litigation and administrative law. He has worked with the Legal Aid Foundation and the Budapest office of the New York-based Open Society Institute, a grant-making organization.

San Diego-based Luce Forward Hamilton & Scripps has hired **Jennifer D. Schmied ’01** is an associate.

**Andre Quintero ’01** was elected to the Rio Hondo Community College District. This is the seat from which Congresswoman Hilda Solis launched her political career. This District is now the first all Latino elected board in the state.

---

**In Memoriam**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Lee Arron ’75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Baba ’83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Joseph Blaylock ’58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Ray Bringgold ’54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrude D. Chern ’66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon C. Fan ’97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerold A. Krieger ’68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard Victor Martin ’52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin L. Mineo ’73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Hugh Moore ’71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Max Moshenko ’67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Obrzut ’74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John H. Roney ’59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Myron Sattlinger ’53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Joseph Schnitzer ’52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Victor Siporin ’68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Francis Stewart ’61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne P. Toomer ’54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Whitehead Vorkink ’54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Wall ’93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryle Mary White ’86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gertrude “Trudy” Chern ’66, the first woman to practice law in her hometown of Santa Maria, California, died February 19 at the age of 82. She had enjoyed a distinguished career and at the time of her death was working on a project designed to protect consumers from misleading contracts. Referring to the infamous “fine print” on many documents, she told UCLA Development Officer Alan Eyerly, “Consumers never know what they’re signing,” she said, “I intend to bring an action stopping that kind of contract.”

Before starting law school at age 43, Trudy was a partner in a family retail furniture business. Following graduation from UCLA Law, Trudy worked for two years for California Rural Legal Assistance before opening her family practice in 1968. She won two landmark cases: one that established the right of an unmarried father to seek child custody or visitation privileges; and the second allowing a divorcing wife to share in her husband’s retirement pension. She won several professional and leadership awards, including being named Santa Barbara County’s “Woman of the Year” in 1991. In 1997, she received the UCLA Professional Achievement Award.

Trudy Chern established a charitable gift annuity to benefit the School of Law in 2001. She explained her commitment to the school as follows, “My training at law school added a big dimension to my interest and my capabilities, and so I made UCLA a significant beneficiary of whatever financial success I [had].”

Trudy’s favorite UCLA Law professor, William Warren, had this to say of her: “Trudy Chern was the kind of law student that teachers remember: she was smart and voiced strong opinions, informed by her experience in business. But she had something more, which I can only describe as spirit, a love of life and of her friends. I saw her only a few months before her death when she was blind and in a wheelchair, and she still had that wonderful joy of living. She will not soon be forgotten.”

The School of Law lost one of our own this spring when Leon Fan ’97, husband of Pei Pei Tan ’96, died May 1 after a very brief illness. He was 31. Leon had worked in the Information Systems department of the School of Law as a student. Pei Pei is the office manager for the UCLA Law Review.

Born and raised in Los Angeles, Leon graduated from Columbia University in 1992 with a degree in English. Following his law graduation he joined Maysville Productions and then Kopelson Entertainment. At the time of his death he was the Acquisition Vice President for Threshold Entertainment, which honored his memory with a full-page announcement in Variety Magazine mourning his passing.

Some 300 family members, friends, and colleagues attended his memorial service on May 10, where he was remembered as a young, funny, and vibrant man who lived life to its fullest, enjoyed watching and making movies, and was treasured by many. He was eulogized by his brother, Jason; Diego Aldana, a friend from his college days; Joshua Wexler, a colleague from Threshold; and Pei Pei, who told of their simple, happy, friend-filled life.

“So, this is how we lived our lives together,” Pei Pei said, “Leon and I had nothing left unsaid, we had nothing left unresolved. We tried … to live in the moment, to be present, to live life fully. We knew life is too short to be unhappy, that health & happiness are far more important than money, a prestigious career, or a safe, secure job.” In officiating the service, Monsignor Liam Kidney said, “Leon’s spirit will live on for us, and never really go unless he is forgotten. We will not forget Leon.” To keep Leon’s spirit alive, his family has created an endowed scholarship in his memory. Memorial contributions may be directed to UCLA Foundation/Law in memory of Leon Fan.

By Mike Brown ’99

James Shankles ’00 of Columbia, South Carolina, died in October from cancer. He was 31. James originally enrolled at UCLA Law with the class of 1999, but medical problems forced him to leave school temporarily. Resilient and determined, he returned from his absence and graduated with the class of 2000 and passed the July 2000 California Bar Exam. After graduation he joined the Los Angeles law firm of Pollak, Vida & Fisher, where he practiced until his health failed and he returned home to South Carolina.

A graduate of U.C. Berkeley and an avid Cal and UCLA fan, classmates and friends described James as a loyal friend, who was selfless to a fault, with a great sense of humor. He is survived by his parents, Ernest Reed Shankles Jr. and Donna Thornton Shankles of Columbia, South Carolina; sister Jodi; aunts and uncles: Karen and Roland Shankles, Jean and Charles Shankles, and David Shankles.

Editor’s note:

James attended the Bar Swearing-In Ceremony December 3, 2000, in the Grand Ballroom of UCLA’s Ackerman Union. He became ill and was unable to participate in the official ceremony. Recovering, but quite weak, he was taken to the reception room following the event, where Professor Sklansky and Dean Varat introduced him to the Honorable Lourdes G. Baird ’76, Kim M. Wardlaw ’79 and Joan Dempsey Klein ’55, who had just performed the official ceremony for the balance of the class. The judges spoke to him private-ly and graciously agreed to swear him in as he stay seated. Although still ill and quite uncomfortable, James insisted on properly adjusting his tie and standing for his induction to the bar.
K

eep your eyes on the prize is something we hear a lot. As a child assigned to watch the PBS documentary series of the same name about the Civil Rights Movement, I learned early on that the prize isn’t necessarily a tangible material object, but rather the ability to create social change.

At that age I was not yet sure what it was I wanted to change. I just knew that it was possible. As I made my way through school, I soon realized what it was I needed to do. In college, I recognized that in high school I had not recognized that I had been in an abusive relationship. I’d known my relationship wasn’t a good one—but I hadn’t identified it as a larger social issue experienced by young people in relationships and homes across the country and around the world. “Domestic violence” and “dating violence” were terms I had never heard before. And the more I learned about it — from books and classes to personal accounts from friends and students — I knew this was an issue that demanded attention.

My father is a lawyer. I grew up familiar with that environment. The option to pursue activism and social change through the law seemed like a natural choice. So I made my way to UCLA School of Law. And I was fortunate enough to find a faculty and mentors that supported my ideas and my passion to make a difference. I fueled this passion and increased my skills by supplementing the traditional law school curriculum with extracurricular activities, volunteer work, summer jobs, and independent study tailored to public interest law. I joined the Public Interest Law Foundation at UCLA, worked at the ACLU and a public interest law firm, volunteered my time working on legislation, and published law journal articles on issues of domestic violence and women’s reproductive rights. These experiences helped me understand the power of my own voice on behalf of others who were voiceless. I learned that I had strengths in organizing and that my life-long entrepreneurial spirit could be put to the task of being a social entrepreneur in pursuit of social justice.

Today I am the Founder and Executive Director of Break the Cycle, a nonprofit organization whose mission is to end domestic violence by working proactively with youth. Sometimes when I declare our mission people are surprised to hear me use the words domestic violence and youth in the same sentence. But an astounding 33 percent of teens report being in an abusive dating relationship and millions of children each year experience violence in their homes. This is an issue that cuts across all socio-economic lines, races, and religions. It impacts boys as well as girls and occurs in homes of all types and in relationships of all kinds. Violence is learned behavior and, without intervention, it will be passed from one generation to the next.

We, at Break the Cycle, are striving each day to interrupt this cycle of violence and create opportunities for safe and healthy relationships and homes for all young people. Our services are provided to young people throughout Los Angeles County between the ages of 12 and 22. We teach a law-based curriculum in the schools, educating kids about their rights and responsibilities under the law. And we provide this life saving information to over 10,000 kids in Los Angeles County alone each and every year. For those experiencing abuse, we provide advice, counsel, and representation — from obtaining restraining and protective orders to family law representation to simple but powerful advocacy like working with school personnel to provide a safe environment for our clients on their campuses. Importantly, all of our services are provided completely free of charge.

I launched Break the Cycle shortly after graduating from UCLA School of Law in 1995. We started providing services in 1996 and recently celebrated our 6-year anniversary. From a first year budget of under $30,000, a staff of one, a board of three, and services launched in my apartment, we’ve grown into a full-service, nationally recognized organization. Our budget this year is $750,000, supporting a full time staff of eleven (including four UCLA Law grads!) and a board of 14 prominent and dedicated individuals. And this year marks the second year of a three-year national expansion plan where we are looking to add additional offices across the country.

I am very proud to be a public interest attorney. And I am grateful to all those who supported me in this process along the way and joined me in this incredible journey — the faculty at UCLA School of Law, my friends and colleagues in the profession, my family and, of course, the community that has so energetically rallied to support this organization and its mission. Together, we are able to keep our eyes on the prize.

For more information on Break the Cycle, visit www.break-the-cycle.org.
To encourage UCLA Law alumni to return to campus to enjoy the wonderful performances that are offered, we have listed below the UCLA Performing Arts series schedule. UCLA Performing Arts is widely recognized as the most significant presenter and producer of performing arts in Southern California — a reputation built on the rigorous pursuit of artistic excellence and innovation. The coming season of UCLA Live is a remarkable fusion of local and global, ancient and new art forms that together represent a program of stunning breadth and depth — classical music, contemporary performance, dance, jazz, world music, and theatre with an emphasis on interdisciplinary collaboration.

Visit the UCLA Live website at www.uclalive.com or call 310-825-2101 to request a season brochure or purchase tickets.

### Dance Series 1
- Cullberg Ballet Fri, Oct 18
- Sankai Juku Thurs & Fri, Oct 24-25
- Merce Cunningham Dance Company Fri, Jan 31
- Streb Fri, March 21
- Nederlands Dans Theater II Sat & Sun, April 12

### Freud Dance Series
- Yin Mei Dance Thurs, Sept 19
- Salia Ni Seydou, Wed, Feb 5
- Big Dance Theater Thurs, Feb 27
- Rennie Harris Puremovement Wed, April 23

### Classical Music Series
- Lorraine Hunt Lieberson Wed, Oct 9
- Orchestre National de Lyon Sun, Feb 2
- Steve Reich Ensemble Sat, March 1
- Bach Collegium Japan Sat, April 5

### Chamber Music Series
- Jacques Thibaud String Trio Sat, Nov 2
- Paris Piano Trio Sun, Nov 24
- Sequenza Fri, Feb 28
- Pacifica Quartet Sat, March 8

### World Music Series
- Caetano Veloso Tues, Oct 29
- Salif Keita Sat, Nov 2
- Afro-Cuban All Stars Thurs, Nov 14
- Buena Vista Social Club Tues, April 1
- Youssou N’Dour Thurs, May 1

### Spoken Word Series
- Sarah Vowell & David Rakoff Sat, Sept 28
- Michael Chabon Sun, March 2
- Billy Collins Sun, April 13
- David Sedaris Wed, April 30

### Family Series
- Cirque Eloize Sat, Sept 21
- Family Film Live! Organ Concert & Film: Buster Keaton Sat, Oct 5
- Campagnie du Hanneton: The Junebug Symphony Sat, Oct 19
- Family Film Live! Organ Concert & Film: Laurel & Hardy Sun, March 2

### AWOL Series (Artists Without Limits)
- Steroid Maximus Thurs, Oct 17
- David Thomas: Disastrodrome! Sat, Feb 22
- Tabla Beat Science Sat, March 8
- scanner: Alphaville Sat. March 15
- They Might Be Giants/Dave Eggers Thurs, April 24

### Bach Series
- Peter Serkin and Jaime Laredo Fri, March 14
- Musica Angelica Sun, March 23
- Bach Collegium Japan Fri, April 4

### Piano Series
- Louis Lortie Sun, Oct 13
- Katia & Marielle Labeque Thurs, Jan 23
- Peter Serkin and Jaime Laredo Sat, March 15
- Krystian Zimerman Wed, April 23

### Jazz Series
- Dave Holland Big Band Thurs, Oct 3
- Pat Metheny Group Sat, Nov 16
- Bireli Legreene Thurs, March 13
- Herbie Hancock Quartet Wed, March 26

### Theater Festival Series 1 & 2
- Lipstick Traces Thurs, Sept 26
- Societas Raffaello Sanzio: Genesi Fri, Oct 4
- Hashirigaki Thurs, Oct 17
- Compagnie du Hanneton: The Junebug Symphony Thurs, Oct 17
- ZT Hollandia: Quick Lime Wed, Oct 23
- Societas Raffaello Sanzio: Giulio Cesare Fri, Nov 1
- The Wooster Group: To You, The Birdie! Fri, Nov 8
- Woyzeck by Robert Wilson, Tom Waits, Kathleen Brennan Wed, Dec 4

### Director’s Choice Series
- Kronos Quartet: Nuevo Fri, Sept 13
- Hal Willner’s Halloween Show Thurs, Oct 31
- Marie Brassard: Jimmy, creature de reve Tues, Feb 11
- Steve Reich & Beryl Korot: Three Tales Thurs, Feb 27
- Robert Lepage: La Casa Azul Wed, May 3
Carole and Everett Meiners Plan Now for UCLA Law’s Future

UCLA has long benefited from Carole and Everett Meiners’ leadership and involvement. Carole still visits with her sorority sisters and other UCLA alumnae several times each year on campus through programs sponsored by Las Doñas. Everett, a graduate of the class of 1964 who was president of the law school’s Alumni Association in 1988, has helped “grow” the Annual Fund and encourages his classmates to donate as well. “It’s a great return on our investment,” he explained. “We paid a nominal amount for our law school education, and we have good careers to show for it. We all know that the State of California doesn’t cover the costs of running the School these days and that the School relies on support from alumni.”

The Meiners are active professionals. Carole is a fashion consultant for the Carlisle Collection, a line of exclusive women’s clothing. Everett has practiced labor and employment law with Parker, Milliken, Clark, O’Hara & Samuelian since 1965. The Meiners are committed to their community as well. They both support the “Bridge to Germany” program that links Bel Air Presbyterian Church with a congregation located in the town of Oderwitz in former East Germany. Since Carole spent five years living in Germany following her graduation from UCLA, and then taught German for several years, revitalizing the German church and the community is a labor of love. Everett supports the Los Angeles Master Chorale as a member of the Board of Directors, a post he has held since the early 1980’s, providing advice on labor related issues.

Carole and Everett are generous with their time and resources, but they are very practical as well. Everett explained, “Prior to a trip we decided to put our affairs in order and focus on planning our estate. I knew the significant tax benefits of designating certain portions of our estate for charitable organizations.” Carole added, “By providing for charitable gifts in our estate plan, Everett and I can choose the charities to support rather than paying that amount in estate taxes.”

And so the Meiners, after providing for their daughters, Jennifer, a Human Relations Coordinator at the Getty Museum, and Hillary, a University of California, Santa Barbara graduate and now an RN working at the Hospital of the Good Samaritan, focused on their interests and passions. “The Los Angeles Master Chorale was to be included,” said Everett, “and the Bel Air Presbyterian Church” added Carole, “together with the other interests and commitments we’ve made in our lives.” Everett concluded, “That of course includes a percentage of our planned charitable gifts for the UCLA School of Law.”